			This is a preliminary transcript of a
1	{York Stenographic Services,	Inc.}	Committee Hearing. It has not yet been
			subject to a review process to ensure that
			the statements within are appropriately
			attributed to the witness or member of
			Congress who made them, to determine
			whether there are any inconsistencies
			between the statements within and what
			was actually said at the proceeding, or to
			make any other corrections to ensure the
2	HIF112.140		accuracy of the record.

- 3 HEARING ON THE JAMES ZADROGA 9/11 HEALTH AND COMPENSATION ACT
- 4 OF 2009
- 5 WEDNESDAY, APRIL 22, 2009
- 6 House of Representatives,
- 7 Subcommittee on Health
- 8 Committee on Energy and Commerce
- 9 Washington, D.C.

10 The subcommittee met, pursuant to call, at 10:10 a.m.,

11 in Room 2322 of the Rayburn House Office Building, Hon. Frank

12 Pallone Jr. (chairman) presiding.

Members present: Representatives Pallone, Engel, Weiner,
Barrow, and Deal.

Staff present: Andy Schneider, Chief Health Counsel;
Sarah Depres, Counsel; Elana Leventhal, Counsel; Alvin Banks,
Special Assistant; Alli Corr, Special Assistant; Miriam
Edelman, Special Assistant; Lindsay Vidal, Special Assistant;

- 19 Aarti Shah, Minority Counsel; Jerri Couri, Minority
- 20 Professional Staff; Chad Grant, Minority Legislative Analyst.

21 Mr. {Pallone.} The subcommittee hearing will be called 22 to order, and today we are having a hearing on the James 23 Zadroga 9/11 Health and Compensation Act of 2009. First of 24 all, let me say good morning to our colleagues who are at the 25 desk there and to all of you who are here. I know how 26 important an issue this is not only to the New York and New 27 Jersey delegation, but I think also nationwide.

28 The bill was introduced by Ms. Maloney, Mr. Nadler, and 29 Mr. King. And again I want to thank you for all you have 30 done on this legislation. I think actually in my opening 31 remarks I mention the hearing that Jerry had, that Mr. Nadler 32 within maybe a month or so of the World Trade Center attack, 33 and I remember going to the Federal Building--I think it was 34 at the Federal Building--in New York, and you were bringing 35 up--you were sort of raising all the issues that, at the 36 time, were being denied by the EPA, and it turned out to be 37 true. So it is often the case with Mr. Nadler that he brings 38 issue to the attention that agencies deny, and then it turns 39 out that he was absolutely right from the beginning.

40 Last year, the subcommittee had two hearings on this 41 issue to examine medical monitoring and treatment programs 42 for those affected by 9/11 diseases and a legislative hearing 43 on a similar bill to the one before us today. Both of these

44 hearings provided us with vital information on this issue. 45 Eight years ago, as we all know, our country was struck 46 by a horrible tragedy. People lost their lives, families 47 were shattered, and our Nation responded. And individuals 48 from all over the country rushed to the aid of those in need, 49 not stopping to think about the effects on their health or 50 I know I will never forget those horrifying days. I lives. was at the World Trade Center site with President Bush. 51 Ι 52 think the attack occurred on Tuesday, and we were there maybe 53 Friday of that week. And, you know, I saw firsthand the 54 dedication and determination of the rescue workers and the 55 volunteers who pushed themselves to the brink of exhaustion 56 and beyond.

57 The singular memory that when we arrived, I was standing 58 next to a, like a yellow fire truck that was from Hialeah, 59 Florida. And I thought, you know, how did that truck get up 60 here in such a short time? I mean I guess it is possible to 61 do, but it was people literally from all over the country.

In the month following the 9/11 attacks, I mentioned I attended a field hearing with Congressman Nadler in New York City to investigate the presence of hazardous waste and the health implications for those who were exposed. We did not know then if there would be any long-term effects or just how debilitating they would be. But we now have more in-depth

68 understanding of how the dust, the glass fragments, and other 69 toxins released into the air affected by responders and 70 community residents. Studies have shown that individuals 71 present during and immediately after the attack now suffer 72 from new or worsened respiratory disease, gastroesophageal 73 disorders, and mental health conditions including post-74 traumatic stress disorder.

75 We in Congress have an obligation to our Nation's heroes 76 and to the victims of these attacks. It is our turn to step 77 up to the plate and come to their aid, and the bill before us today is a vital step in that direction. H.R.847 would 78 79 establish a permanent program to monitor and screen eligible 80 residents and responders and provide medical treatment for 81 those suffering from World Trade Center related diseases. Ιt would direct the Department of Health and Human Services to 82 83 conduct and support research into new conditions that may be 84 related to the attacks and to evaluate different and emerging 85 methods of diagnosis and treatment.

86 The legislation would build upon the expertise of the 87 Centers of Excellence, which are currently providing high 88 quality care to thousands of responders and ensuring ongoing 89 data collection and analysis to evaluate health risks.

90 Now, one of these centers is, as you know, is located in
91 my district on the Bush Campus of Rutgers University in

92 Piscataway and is run by Dr. Iris Utasin. It is the UMDNJ 93 World Trade Center Medical Monitoring and Treatment Program, 94 which was established in January 2003 to study, interpret, 95 and treat medical symptoms commonly occurring in responders 96 and volunteers. The center currently--this is the New Jersey 97 center--currently serves approximately 1,370 patients. Ι 98 visited the center a few times and have seen the work that 99 Dr. Utasin and her team are doing to help our Nation's 100 heroes.

I know she couldn't be here today. I think she is not in the country, so she wasn't able to come today. But at the center, the in-depth knowledge of these complex conditions is crucial to all the patients, and we must ensure that this program is permanently funded so that they can continue providing this excellent care.

107 So I just want to thank all the sponsors again for your 108 tireless efforts, and Mr. Deal and I know how tireless you 109 are because oftentimes a week does not pass by without you 110 mentioning this issue on the floor. We want to thank the 111 witnesses, not only our two colleagues, but those who will be 112 on the next panel, in particular Mr. Torres who is from New 113 Jersey and who was one of the first responders to the 9/11 114 attacks. We are going to be hearing his story today, and on behalf of everyone, I want to particularly thank you also for 115

- 116 being here.
- 117 [The information follows:]

119 Mr. {Pallone.} And I will now recognize the ranking 120 member, Mr. Deal.

Mr. {Deal.} Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Thank you for 121 122 holding the hearing and thanks to our two colleagues for 123 being on the first panel. I think we all understand the 124 significance of the events of 9/11 and as we explore this 125 bill, H.R. 847, we understand the long-term consequences in 126 terms of health to those who rushed to the aid of others and 127 to the consequences that they have suffered as a result of 128 it.

129 My only regret is that, and I have to tell my colleagues 130 as well as the other panel members, this just happens to be 131 at the very same time that we are holding a full committee 132 hearing on climate change of the Energy and Commerce 133 Committee. And for those such as myself who are on the full 134 committee but are not on the Energy Subcommittee, this is the 135 only opportunity, this hearing that is going on right now, to 136 participate in that particular important discussion. So I 137 think that accounts for the fact that you probably will not 138 have very many members here because of the full committee 139 hearing on that important issue going on simultaneously. 140 Wish it would have been otherwise, but we deal with the time 141 constraints that we have.

142 The hearing today, of course, is to assess the current 143 monitoring and treatment efforts that have been provided to 144 individuals who were involved in the 9/11 catastrophe and to 145 those who were within proximity to the World Trade Center on 146 9/11 and the weeks and months that followed. It is my 147 understanding that to date, the federal government has 148 allocated approximately \$1 billion toward monitoring and 149 treatment of first responders.

150 Although this legislation has yet to be scored by the 151 Congressional Budget Office, CBO estimated last year that the 152 impact of similar legislation, which was H.R. 7174, upon 153 which the subcommittee held a legislative hearing last 154 summer, that it would cost taxpayers over \$11 billion within 155 a 10-year timeframe. If the majority intends to move this 156 legislation out of the committee for a vote, I hope that 157 members on both sides of the aisle will be given the 158 opportunity to hold another legislative hearing to receive 159 the expert input from CBO regarding the true cost of the 160 legislation.

I look forward to continuing to work with the members of the committee on this, and once again thank my colleagues for their interest and their attendance here today. I yield back.

165 [The prepared statement of Mr. Deal follows:]

Mr. {Pallone.} Thank you, Mr. Deal. And let me 167 168 reiterate with Mr. Deal said about conflicts today. Actually Lisa Jackson, I think, you know, was our--the Jersey 169 170 commissioner now is the EPA administrators, I think, 171 testifying this morning on, you know, on the global climate 172 change in the full committee. So we are missing that, and I would appreciate the fact that Mr. Engel is here, but I--you 173 174 are doing something with Hillary Clinton this morning, aren't 175 you, in your other committee? 176 Mr. {Engel.} Foreign Affairs Committee has a full 177 hearing with the Secretary of State. First time she is

178 appearing before any committee, either in the House or the 179 Senate.

180 Mr. {Pallone.} So there is a lot going on. So forgive 181 us. But even with that, Mr. Engel is here. And I want to 182 also acknowledge his significant involvement in this 183 legislation as well. Thank you, Eliot.

Mr. {Engel.} Well, thank you, Mr. Chairman, and I want to thank you for holding this hearing today because you and I have had many talks about the importance of the 9/11 Health and Compensation Act. And I appreciate your willingness to hold a hearing to--in the midst of all the committee's work on many things but particularly on health reform. So I am

190 glad that you are chairing this important subcommittee, and 191 thank you for doing this.

192 I am also delighted to see my colleagues Jerry Nadler 193 and Pete King, both of whom I have firsthand knowledge, being 194 a colleague of theirs from New York, of the work that both of 195 them have done in focusing on this very important issue of 196 9/11 health care, the 9/11 Health and Compensation Act, and 197 all the other things that relate to the devastating attack on 198 September 11, 2001, and particular, Mr. Nadler, the World 199 Trade Center and the attacks are in his district, and he has 200 played a front-and-center role on all these issues, not just 201 on the health issues, but on all the issues pertaining to the 202 attacks. So I want to thank Mr. Nadler and Mr. King for 203 being here this morning.

204 You know, as devastating as that day was, there are few 205 days I have been more proud to be an American than on 206 September 11. I said that in my first statement on the House 207 floor a day or two after the attacks where I spoke from the 208 heart, not by reading anything. Within minutes of crashes 209 into the Twin Towers, New York's first responders mobilized 210 to save those trapped within the World Trade Center, putting 211 themselves in unspeakable danger. And of course, too many 212 lost their lives that day.

213 Within days, over 40,000 responders from across the

214 Nation descended upon Ground Zero to do anything possible to 215 help with the rescue, recovery, clean up. I remember those 216 bittersweet days. I was there in New York City, where I was 217 born and bred. I was happy to be in New York City on 218 September 11 and remember seeing Americans lined up around 219 blocks to donate blood. The attack was on Tuesday. That 220 Friday, the New York Delegation stood with President Bush at 221 Ground Zero, that very famous picture of President Bush with 222 the firemen and the bullhorn. We were all there right by his 223 side. Particularly Mr. Nadler, I remember, flew in the 224 helicopter that day. There were things we all remember.

I remember the chaos as no one knew quite what to do, only that we had to do something, anything to help our Nation rise up from the assault by the terrorists. I was very, very proud to be in New York on that day.

The past seven years though have not been to so many of the first responders who put themselves in harm's way. It is estimated that up to 400,000 people in the World Trade Center area on 9/11 were exposed to extremely toxic environmental hazards including asbestos, particulate matter, and smoke.

You know it is a funny thing. Those of us in the New York City delegation, we kept going back to the World Trade Center, the devastation while we saw people running around. And, you know, they gave us these little kind of helmets.

None of us wore them, and we kept going back. We were assured at the time by Christy Todd Whitman that everything was fine. And so even those of us in Congress were exposed to these things. I am not saying that we were exposed the way the first responders were who were there every day. But we were there, you know, half a dozen times or more, and we were exposed to it as well.

245 Years later the exposure though to the 400,000 people 246 has left a significant number of first responders with severe 247 respiratory ailments including an asthma rate that is 12 248 times the normal rate of adult onset asthma, lung disease, 249 and persistent cough. Also common are PTSD and depression. 250 This has all been well documented in a scientific, peer-251 reviewed published work regarding the long-term health 252 effects of 9/11 by Mt. Sinai Hospital, the fire department of 253 the city of New York, and the World Trade Center health 254 registry.

We really don't know the long-term effects of exposure to the toxins from 9/11. Many of us fear that there may be significant late emergent diseases, both in our first responders and members of the community, such as cancer, that will require treatment for years to come.

260 While these illnesses should sadden all of us, what 261 pains me most is that our Nation has failed to provide our

262 first responders and community members, Mr. Nadler's 263 constituents, with a sustainable and reliable source of 264 federal funding for a health care monitoring and treatment 265 program. The GAO has documented the failure of HHS to 266 provide consistent care in multiple reports. It certainly 267 sends a chilling message to those who fearlessly volunteered 268 for our country that nearly eight years later, they are still 269 fighting for medical care that should just be a given.

270 So I am proud to join with my New York colleagues, lead 271 by Representatives Maloney, Nadler, and so many others in 272 introducing the 9/11 Health and Compensation Act. This 273 comprehensive bill would ensure that those exposed to the 274 Ground Zero toxins have a right to be medically monitored and 275 all that are sick have a right to treatment.

It would also rightfully provide compensation for loss by reopening the 9/11 compensation fund. No more fragmented health care, no more excuses. We must and shall do what is right, and I thank you, Mr. Chairman, for bringing this to the floor, and I thank my colleagues, Mr. Nadler and Mr. King, for coming here today. I yield back.

282 [The prepared statement of Mr. Engel follows:]

284 Mr. {Pallone.} Thank you, Mr. Engel. We are going to 285 now turn to the first panel, and obviously I am very pleased that you are with us here today and all that you have done. 286 287 I guess I should mention--I think we already mentioned it--288 that Representative Carol Maloney could not be here because 289 she has a bill. I think one of her other bills is being 290 marked up--credit card bill, another important bill that is 291 being marked up. But we have her statement, so without 292 objection, I will ask unanimous consent to submit that for 293 the record.

294 [The prepared statement of Ms. Maloney follows:]

296 Mr. {Deal.} Mr. Chairman, I would ask unanimous consent 297 that members of the committee be given five days in which to 298 submit their statements for the record in this hearing.

299 Mr. {Pallone.} Without objection, so ordered. We are 300 going to start with the Congressman Nadler. 301 ^STATEMENTS OF HON. JERROLD NADLER, A REPRESENTATIVE IN 302 CONGRESS FROM THE STATE OF NEW YORK; AND HON. PETER KING, A 303 REPRESENTATIVE IN CONGRESS FROM THE STATE OF NEW YORK

304 ^STATEMENT OF JERROLD NADLER

305 Mr. {Nadler.} Well, thank you, Mr. Chairman. } Mr. 306 Chairman, Ranking Member Deal, members of the subcommittee 307 including my fellow New Yorker, Mr. Engel, thank you for 308 convening this hearing and inviting my colleagues and me to 309 testify before you this morning. I also want to thank 310 everyone who has worked on this bill to help us achieve our 311 long-standing goal of providing a stable, long-term program 312 to help the responders, the residents, area workers, 313 students, and others who were injured by the attack on our 314 country on September 11.

Representative Maloney and I along with Representatives King and McMahon have introduced H.R. 847, the 9/11 Health and Compensation Act of 2009 to ensure that the living victims of the September 11 terrorist attacks have a right to health care for their World Trade Center related illnesses and the root to compensation for economic losses.

321 Now, as many of my colleagues know and as many of us

322 sitting in this room know, today's panelists have come 323 together many times since the towers fell almost eight years 324 ago, holding press conferences, testifying at hearings, and 325 releasing countless pages of information detailing the 326 environmental impacts and health effects created by the 327 attack on the United States.

For eight years, those of us here today have testified about the toxins that were inhaled by those near Ground Zero in the days and weeks following the attacks. We warned then that the air wasn't safe and that our courageous first responders were not being afforded the proper protection from dangerous toxins as they were working on the pile.

334 But the federal EPA kept assuring everyone wrongly that 335 the air was safe. We spent years working to try to convince 336 public officials that the asbestos, fiberglass, mercury, 337 manganese, and other toxins that traveled far and settled 338 into the interiors of residences, workplaces, and school and 339 that a proper testing and clean-up program was required to 340 eliminate the continuing health risks to area residents, 341 workers and students.

We demanded that the government acknowledge the fact, supported by a mountain of peer-reviewed research, that thousands of our Nation's citizens are today sick because of 9/11 and that many more will likely become sick in the

346 future.

347 We explained to whoever would listen that our 9/11 348 heroes were struggling to pay health care costs because they 349 could not longer work and had lost their health insurance or 350 because they had had their worker's compensation claims 351 contested. We have argued vigorously that the federal 352 response to date has been dangerously limited, piecemeal, and 353 unpredictable, both in terms of preventing further health 354 impacts from potentially persistent indoor contamination, and 355 most notably in terms of a lack of comprehensive long-term 356 approach to providing health care and compensation for those 357 already affected.

358 Yet each time we presented our case for comprehensive 359 solution, we were told better luck next year. Well, a new 360 year has come, and we are here again on behalf of those who 361 continue to suffer. Undaunted and due to considerable 362 efforts by all of the stakeholders, we have modified the bill 363 to achieve what have been our dual goals from the beginning. 364 One, to establish a stable, long-term approach that builds on 365 successful existing programs to provide much-needed care for 366 those who were affected by the attacks, regardless of whether 367 they are first responders or area workers, residents, 368 students, or others. And two, doing this in a fiscally 369 responsible manner.

We are hopeful that today's hearing marks the beginning of the end of our collective eight-year struggle. We are hopeful that this is the first step in finally passing this critical legislation to give those men, women, and children who live with the daily reminders of that terrible day in 2001 the support and care they deserve.

376 Although the devastating 9/11 attacks on the World Trade Center occurred within the bounds of my congressional 377 378 district, it was our Nation as a whole that was attacked. 379 And the ramifications stretch well beyond the bounds of my district or indeed of New York. Every member in New York's 380 381 down state delegation represents hundreds if not thousands of 382 people who live, work, attend school, or were otherwise 383 present in lower Manhattan and the affected parts of Brooklyn 384 and were exposed to the toxic brew in the air.

But it doesn't end there. Because people from all across the country came to New York City to help, there are now citizens in every state, in fact, in 431 congressional districts that we know about--431 out of 435 who were exposed to the toxic fumes of 9/11 and were concerned enough about it to register with the World Trade Center health registry.

391 So this is not just a problem for members from New York 392 and New Jersey. This issue should concern every member of 393 the House. Because this is unquestionably a national

394 problem, it has always required a national response. Yet the 395 previous administration declined to develop a comprehensive 396 plan to deal with the growing public health problem, forcing 397 the New York delegation year after year to come to Congress 398 to test its luck during the annual appropriations process.

Thankfully with growing bipartisan support for that funding, we have had some key successes. And with those funds, we have seen some critical first steps in federally funded health care programming, but quite simply this disjointed and unpredictable approach to securing critical funding is not a tenable course of action.

405 Both our heroes and the excellent health care programs 406 that are now in place to serve them deserve better. Passage 407 of the 9/11 Health and Compensation Act would mark an end to this problematic approach and ensure that a consistent source 408 409 of funding is available to monitor and treat the thousands of 410 first responders and community members already affected by 411 World Trade Center related illnesses as well as those who 412 illnesses may become apparent in the future.

And it would ensure that no matter where an affected individual lives in the future, he or she could get care. Building on the expertise of the Centers of Excellence, the bill would fill gaps in how we are currently providing treatment and monitoring. The bill would also provide for

418 substantial data collection regarding the nature and extent 419 of related illnesses. This is a particularly critical 420 provision as there is still much we have to learn about these 421 illnesses and how they affect different exposure populations. 422 And finally, as you know, this legislation would provide 423 an opportunity for compensation for economic damages and 424 losses by reopening the 9/11 Victims' Compensation Fund. As 425 you will hear from the other panelists, the needs here are 426 abundantly clear. About 16,000 first responders are 427 currently being treated for illnesses, and about 40,000 more 428 --and more than 40,000 are being monitored through a 429 consortium of providers lead by Mt. Sinai Hospital and the 430 New York City Fire Department.

And we already have nearly 3,400 sick community members being treated by a program funded in part by the federal government, the World Trade Center Environmental Health Program at Bellevue Hospital. As you may know, the bill has been modified several times in order to ensure that those in need receive the care they deserve and that the cost is feasible and responsible.

First, the bill limits the radius, the geographical radius within which individuals who reside go to school or work would be eligible for services. Second it caps the total number of new treatment slots to 35,000, which

442 incidentally is the same level as the responder program.
443 Finally, the bill creates contingency funds with strict
444 dollar limits and caps other kinds of spending.

Today every member of the subcommittee has an opportunity. You can decide that you are going to join with those of us in this room who have been fighting for this funding for eight long years, with those back in New York and throughout the country who continue to grapple with the consequences of the 9/11 attacks.

With your help, we can finally give the heroes and victims of 9/11 the peace of mind they deserve by providing for their health needs and other losses. I urge you to please join us in supporting the 9/11 Health and Compensation Act and helping us to move this important legislation forward so that it can finally be brought to the whole House for a vote.

Thank you again, Mr. Chairman, and members of the subcommittee for holding this hearing, and I look forward to the testimony of my colleagues and other witnesses today. I yield back the balance of my time.

462 [The prepared statement of Mr. Nadler follows:]

464 Mr. {Pallone.} Thank you, Congressman Nadler. And next 465 is Congressman Peter King, and again thank you for your major 466 efforts on this legislation. And of course you also make it 467 bipartisan, which is very important. Thanks.

468 ^STATEMENT OF PETER KING

469 } Mr. {King.} Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I will thank you
470 and the ranking member for holding this hearing today.
471 Obviously I see Congressman Weiner is here, Congressman
472 Engel, who know firsthand just how devastating this attack
473 has been on New York and New Jersey, but as Congressman
474 Nadler said, on the entire Nation.

475 Also let me commend Jerry Nadler and Carolyn Maloney 476 because they really have been there from the start. If I 477 could just add one humorous note in a very serious issue, 478 passage of this bill protects so many members in the House 479 floor from being accosted by Jerry Nadler. After seven and a 480 half years, if he spots anyone standing still, he comes up to 481 them and urges the adoption of this bill. So there is a very 482 selfish interest in passing this legislation.

But seriously Congressman Nadler and others have worked so hard on this because it is such a vital issue. And it is really an issue whose time has come. It actually came many years ago, and there really is no excuse at all for going further with this. And on a bipartisan note, I am a Republican on this bill, but also Dr. Burgess on your committee has been very helpful. And I know he strongly

490 supports this bill. I saw him this morning, and he asked me 491 to point that out.

492 What Congressman Nadler said about the thousands and 493 thousands--also Congressman Engel--of first responders who 494 went to the scene that day and stayed there for the next 495 eight, nine, ten months, I mean day in and day out working in 496 some cases almost around the clock at the time without real 497 concern for their safety. They just wanted to get the job 498 done, and when the time limit for the Victims' Compensation 499 Fund expired, most of these people had no idea of the 500 underlying illnesses that they had.

501 But we have seen thousands coming forward. I mean so 502 many firefighters know of serious pulmonary illnesses, men 503 who were really in the prime of life, absolutely perfect 504 physical condition. Now some of them can barely breathe, and 505 you just see the impact it has had. And it has all come in 506 the last two, three, four years.

507 Just the other night--this is anecdotal, but I happened 508 to be at an event. There were two police officers there, and 509 they did not even work around the clock at the World Trade 510 Center. They were there the day of the attack, and they were 511 in charge of bringing dignitaries and government officials to 512 the site over the next six or seven months. They both came 513 down with the same type of serious sinus disorder, and, you 514 know, the odds are--of that happening, of two people being 515 struck with that type of--and it is a rare type of sinus 516 disorder. So I just said anecdotally. And there are so many 517 other stories like that we hear, and there is really no 518 reason to delay this any further.

519 We have an obligation to the country. We have an 520 obligation to those who came forward. We have an obligation 521 to the contractors who also put a lot on the line when they 522 came down there. And, you know, it happened in New York now. 523 It could happen in any other state in the country at any 524 time. And I believe when a situation like this happens, it 525 is imperative and it is incumbent upon the country to come 526 together.

527 And as Jerry said, 431 congressional districts in this 528 country have been affected by this, and I would just hope 529 that people not see this as a New York issue or a New Jersey 530 or a Northeast issue. It really is an American issue. And 531 also as Congressman Nadler said, this bill has been refined. 532 It has been, I think, finely tuned. But if there is any 533 specific objection that anyone has or question, I would just 534 we resolve that and not put this on the back burner again and 535 not come back to it next year or the year after.

536 We are so close to the finish line right now, so close 537 to getting this done, and we really--I think it would be

538 outrageous and disgraceful not to complete the job and not to 539 get it done. We owe it to those who were there that day. 540 Jerry, of course, knows firsthand the people in his district 541 who suffered. But as I said, in the entire region, in the 542 entire country, so many others put their lives on the line 543 and did it unquestioningly. They deserve this type of 544 response.

And we owe it also to future generations if, God forbid, something like this should ever happen again. So let people know that America does stand by those who respond to the call of duty.

549 So with that, I thank you for holding this hearing today 550 and really also thank, you know, the men and women who are 551 here to testify, the men and women who have done so much, and 552 the men and women who have really never stopped sacrificing 553 for their country and unfortunately are still suffering 554 because of that sacrifice. And with that, I yield back. 555 Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

556 [The prepared statement of Mr. King follows:]

558 Mr. {Pallone.} Thank you, Congressman King. Thank you 559 both. We normally don't ask questions of our colleagues, so 560 unless someone objects, I am going to move on. But thank you 561 so much really. And you know we do intend to move the bill. I mean we are not just having a hearing as you know. 562 563 Mr. {Nadler.} Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 564 Mr. {King.} Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 565 Mr. {Pallone.} Could the next panel come forward? We 566 will get the nametags so you know where to sit, but I guess 567 it doesn't matter. You can sit wherever you like. We are 568 missing a chair? You have to come up. We will get you a 569 chair. Yeah, Mr. Torres, sorry. I don't know what happened 570 to the nametags, but hopefully we will have some. There is a 571 problem with the printer, so I think we are going to start 572 without the nametags. Can we just--can we remove the ones 573 that are there? He is going to do it, Charlie? Thank you. 574 Thanks, Charlie. Thank you. All right, they may not be-here we go, okay. 575 576 Now we will warn you that you are not sitting in the

577 order that I have, so I am going to follow the order that I 578 have in terms of your testimony. So let me introduce each of 579 you, and the way I introduce you is the order that you are 580 going to speak. Okay, first is Mr. Edwardo Torres from

Jersey City, who is over on my right. And then there is Dr. Jacqueline Moline, who is vice chair, Community and Preventative Medicine Director of the WTC Medical Monitoring and Treatment Program Clinical Center at Mt. Sinai and also director of the New York/New Jersey Education and Research Center at Mt. Sinai School of Medicine in the School of New York.

588 Then we have Dr. Joan Reibman, who is associate 589 professor of medicine and environmental medicine, director of 590 the NYU Bellevue Asthma Center and director of Health and 591 Hospitals Corporation for the World Trade Center 592 Environmental Health Center at Bellevue Hospital in New York 593 City. And then we have Dr. Jim Melius who is administrator 594 for the New York State Laborers' Tri-Funds in Albany, New 595 York. And finally is Caswell Holloway, who is special 596 advisor to New York City Mayor Michael Bloomberg and chief of 597 staff to New York City Deputy Mayor for Operations Edward 598 Skyler. A long resume here for many of you.

599 So I think you know it is five minutes opening 600 statements. We are going to try to keep to that if possible. 601 And if you want to submit, you know, testimony for the 602 record, you know, we will do that as well. And then we will 603 have questions after by members of the panel. And we will 604 start with Mr. Torres. Thanks for being here. You need a

605 mike. Just turn that that way and then just press the button 606 until the light comes on there. That should do it. Maybe 607 move it a little closer to him. It might be a little--yeah. 608 Mr. {Torres.} How is that? Can you hear me? 609 Mr. {Pallone.} Yeah, even a little closer. 610 Mr. {Torres.} Okay, how is that?

611 Mr. {Pallone.} That is good.

612 ^STATEMENTS OF EDWARDO TORRES, RESIDENT OF JERSEY CITY, NEW 613 JERSEY; JACQUELINE MOLINE, M.D., MSC, VICE CHAIR, COMMUNITY 614 AND PREVENTIVE MEDICINE, DIRECTOR, WTC MEDICAL MONITORING AND 615 TREATMENT PROGRAM, CLINICAL CENTER AT MOUNT SINAI, DIRECTOR, 616 NY/NJ EDUCATION AND RESEARCH CENTER, MOUNT SINAI SCHOOL OF 617 MEDICINE, NEW YORK; JOAN REIBMAN, M.D., ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR 618 OF MEDICINE AND ENVIRONMENTAL MEDICINE, DIRECTOR, 619 NYU/BELLEVUE ASTHMA CENTER, DIRECTOR OF HEALTH AND HOSPITALS 620 CORPORATION, WTC ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH CENTER, BELLEVUE 621 HOSPITAL, NEW YORK; JIM MELIUS, ADMINISTRATOR, NEW YORK STATE 622 LABORERS' TRI-FUNDS, ALBANY, NEW YORK; AND CASWELL F. 623 HOLLOWAY, SPECIAL ADVISOR TO NEW YORK CITY MAYOR MICHAEL R. 624 BLOOMBERG, CHIEF OF STAFF TO NEW YORK CITY DEPUTY MAYOR FOR

625 OPERATIONS EDWARD SKYLER.

626 ^STATEMENT OF EDWARDO TORRES

627 } Mr. {Torres.} Thank you, Mr. Chairman Pallone, members 628 of the committee. Good morning and thank you for the 629 opportunity to testify before you today. My name is Edwardo 630 Torres. I am 47 years old, and I am a resident of Jersey 631 City. I am a construction worker and a trade member of the 632 Plumbers Local Union 14 AFL/CIO based in Lodine, New Jersey.

I am testifying before you today in support of the JamesZadroga 9/11 Health Commission Compensation Act of 2009.

I come before you this morning as a citizen wanting to do my part to assist the victims of 9/11 terrorist attack of the World Trade Center and assist their responders. But now I am suffering from serious health effects due to the exposure of Ground Zero toxins and the breathing of the toxins and the pulverized building materials.

641 My story begins in September 2001. I arrived at Ground 642 Zero from New Jersey at 11:00 a.m. Workers were being 643 recruited from my job site and my local union to assist the 644 rescue efforts. Upon the arriving to Manhattan from New 645 Jersey, I immediately began to assist the police, firemen, 646 and rescue attempts of possible survivors trapped in the 647 ruble of the World Trade Center and to move debris from the 648 pile.

I was assigned to the bucket brigade, which slowly and painstakingly removed debris from certain areas via a long line of people passing one bucket after another. And I performed this task up to 8:00 p.m. that evening. I returned to the pile on September 13, 14, and 15, and over the course of those four days, I performed the same exact task for approximately 60 hours.

656 The first day on the pile, I wore a simple dust mask and

657 a hard hat. The three following days, I wore a two-canister 658 filter respirator and a hard hat. Through this time, we dug 659 through the pile by hand because shovels simply didn't work 660 well. The entire time I was filling up buckets and we were 661 instructed to carefully sift through and review the material 662 and attempt to identify remains.

663 Although the environment I was working in was surreal, 664 the weather was actually--couldn't have been nicer out. Ιt 665 was clear, sunny, and shiny. The first day, the level of 666 dust that appeared to the naked eye had been reduced, although the smoke and the smell of the fumes were intense at 667 668 times. There was a false sense of security and the frenzied 669 dedication of the workers sometimes forced us to remove our 670 respirators. We also removed them when we ate or drank 671 water, both of which occurred right on the pile.

I was completely unaware of the health hazards presented in the air, and although the dust appeared to be minimal, I would be reminded of the massive amount of dust in the air when I washed my face on an hourly basis. And when I would dry with a paper towel I would see heavy grey cover on it. I wiped massive amounts of soot from my face on a regular basis.

679 When I went to Ground Zero on September 15, I was proud 680 to volunteer every ounce of my energy over the last four days

681 helping victims of the attacks. That day was the last day682 that I went to volunteer at Ground Zero.

I returned to my home that Saturday, and I attempted to go back to the pile on September 16, but there was no longer running ferries from New Jersey and much of the workers and so less volunteers were being recruited. I returned to work on Monday, September 17.

688 It is important to note I never had any health problems 689 prior to 9/11. In fact, I considered myself to be in great 690 shape. I jogged approximately three times a week, and I 691 never had any problems breathing. For the first four months 692 after 9/11, I had no symptoms or health problems of any kind. 693 That changed with what I would describe as an on-again-694 off-again sore throat starting from February of 2002 in which 695 I would lose my voice on occasion. 2002, I started having 696 stomach pains, not comfortable but pains similar to a worse 697 type of acid reflux or heartburn. And I had no stomach 698 problems at all prior to 9/11.

This persisted and got consistently worse in the course of the next three years. 2005, my throat, my stomach problems were consistently more problematic at the time of receiving a physical at March of 2005.

703 The worst came in November of 2005, a period of time, I 704 could no longer walk up more than one flight of stairs. Work
705 was becoming much more difficult. The winter, I lost about 706 six or seven days of work because it was too cold in the 707 weather that simply I couldn't breathe. In fact, at one 708 point during the dance performance, my chest pains and 709 ability to breathe forced me to stop performing.

710 There are days that I couldn't even run with my kids, 711 participate in sports, and sleep cycles have been disturbed 712 due to my respiratory problems. The only medicine I had at 713 this time was acid reflux, but symptoms got worse. And at 714 the time, I visited a lung specialist who performed a PET 715 scan. On March 2006 and October of 20006, I was diagnosed 716 with having modules in my lungs resulting in lung opacity and 717 lung scarring. The doctors however did not say it was a 718 result of my exposure.

719 After finding this problem and recognizing in my opinion 720 that they were a result of my working at Ground Zero, I 721 decided to attend Mt. Sinai Medical Monitoring Program for 722 examination and was accepted into the program in May of 2006. 723 At this time, I was diagnosed with two World Trade 724 Center-related conditions--gastro-esophageal reflux disorder 725 (GERD) and chronic respiratory restriction. My treatment 726 began at this time, and I was taking prescription medicine to 727 treat the constant throat pain that I was suffering. 728 Eventually I had surgery which was paid for by the Medical

729 Monitoring Fund in October of 2006. And the surgery removed 730 a mass or polyp on my throat. It was not cancerous. After 731 the surgery, I was out for six weeks of work.

I found the caregivers of the World Trade Center Monitoring Program very compassionate. Also, unlike my first doctor, they had a thorough understanding of the context in which the medical examinations and treatments were required. These caregivers understood the 9/11 association and how to treat these problems specifically.

738 The program also performed an extensive breathing 739 analysis, or a PFT test, pulmonary function test. Every 740 three months I received a checkup and a CAT scan, and I met 741 with doctors. Since May of 2006, I have been to the program 742 24 times. The program pays for the treatment and the 743 monitoring. My insurance through my union pays for the CAT 744 scans. I have never paid anything out of pocket with the 745 exception of prescription drug co-payments. And they have a 746 program in Piscataway, but prefer the one in New York City 747 because it is a shorter drive for me.

Under the James Zadroga 9/11 Health and Compensation Act of 2009 legislation, I will continue to receive medical monitoring since both of my diagnosed conditions are on the list of identified World Trade Center conditions specifically in this bill.

753 This would allow me to continue the course of the 754 medical treatment paid for but would also assist other 755 affected workers who are currently struggling. For workers 756 like me and others participating in this program, the 757 monitoring of treatment is essential. Furthermore, under 758 this bill, we would be allowed to receive non-treatment core 759 services such as education on my condition, counseling and advice on how to identify and obtain benefits if needed from 760 761 workers' compensation, health insurance, disability insurance 762 and public, private and social service agencies.

763 In closing, I would like to repeat a question a nurse 764 gathering research from me had asked at Mt. Sinai Hospital 765 and ask you to put this in context as you deliberate this 766 legislation. I was asked on August 2008 during a checkup at 767 the monitoring program if I understood the health effects 768 resulted from your Ground Zero volunteering, would you still 769 have gone? And I responded yes before she could even have a 770 chance of finishing the question. Despite all the pain that 771 it has caused me, I would not have changed a day. Those people needed me. My country needed me. I had to do the 772 773 right thing. And now respectfully I ask you to respond to 774 the health needs by also saying yes when this bill comes up 775 to vote. Thank you.

[The prepared statement of Mr. Torres follows:]

778 Mr. {Pallone.} Thank you, Mr. Torres. Thank you for 779 relating your story, which I am sure is very much like what a 780 lot of other responders have been going through. Thank you. 781 Dr. Moline?

782 ^STATEMENT OF JACQUELINE MOLINE

783 } Dr. {Moline.} Chairman Pallone and Ranking Member Deal 784 and members of the committee, I would like to thank you for 785 inviting me to present testimony today. My name is Dr. 786 Jacqueline Moline. I am an occupational medicine specialist 787 at Mt. Sinai School of Medicine in New York City, and I 788 direct Mt. Sinai's Clinical Center of the World Trade Center 789 Medical Monitoring and Treatment Program.

790 We are the flagship of a regional and national 791 consortium that is supported by NIOSH, the National Institute 792 for Occupational Safety and Health through February 28, 2009 793 has diagnosed and treated nearly 27,000 World Trade Center 794 responders throughout this country. I am here today to 795 testify in support of H.R. 847, which in my view is the best vehicle to meet the need for continued medical care of the 796 797 responders and ensure that the 9/11 responders receive the 798 high quality medical care they rightfully deserve.

On or after September 11, 2001, an estimated 60,000 to 70,000 traditional first responders and not-so-traditional responders came from every state in the Nation, including tens of thousands from the New York metropolitan area, working for days, weeks, and months in and around Ground

804 Zero. Their hard work and bravery got New York and our 805 Nation back on its feet, and we owe them tremendous 806 gratitude.

807 They were exposed to a complex and unprecedented mixture 808 of toxic chemicals including dust, glass shards, and 809 carcinogens like benzene, asbestos, and dioxin. The collapse 810 of the towers in the morning and then a third building in the 811 afternoon created a dust cloud turning a bright sunny day 812 into night. The pulverized cement had a pH equivalent to 813 lye. Fires burned for three months. Rubble operations, 814 removal operations lasted through May 2002, repeatedly 815 exposing these workers to dust.

816 In addition to the physical exposures, they had extreme 817 psychological stress. They came upon human remains. Their 818 stress was compounded by fatigue as they worked hour after 819 hour, day after day. Among those most affected have been the 820 non-traditional responders, those not trained for any 821 emergency, let alone a disaster the scale posed by 9/11. Mt. 822 Sinai, through its Center for Occupational and Environmental 823 Medicine designed and developed what stands today as the 824 federal government's health response to 9/11, a model based 825 on experience and expertise of academic physicians with 826 specialty training in occupational medicine, surrounded by 827 specialists in various disciplines.

Our regional consortium of clinical Centers of Excellence in New York and New Jersey, together with the national program that initially was coordinated by Mt. Sinai and is now coordinated by LHI has provided 46,858 monitoring exams to 26,651 responders in all 50 states. Mt. Sinai alone has provided over 30,000 of these exams to over 17,350 responders.

835 Since the New York and New Jersey Metropolitan Area 836 Consortium treatment programs began, we have provided nearly 837 90,000 physical, mental and social work services in our consortium. Even now, approximately 150 new eligible 838 839 responders join our program every month. Many of these 840 responders continue to suffer health effects with attendant 841 social and financial effects. We have seen asthma, sinus 842 problems, GERD. Breathing tests still are abnormal in 25 843 percent of our patients. Mental health consequences are at 844 rates seen in our returning veterans from Afghanistan.

If we look at six months of conditions in approximately 4,400 patients undergoing treatment in our programs, we see GERD or reflux in 53 percent. 35 percent have mental health problems. Lower respiratory conditions in 46 percent, upper respiratory conditions in 69 percent, social disability, no health insurance in 22 percent, and 64 percent have multiple medical conditions. Some have responded, but thousands have

852 received treatment and still require care.

853 One of my patients, Mr. S, is a carpenter. He worked 854 for a New York City agency and was in great health. Never 855 had a health problem. Never had shortness of breath. He 856 developed GERD, reactive airways, sinus problems, anxiety, 857 couldn't work in a dusty environment and thus could no longer be a carpenter. He lost his health insurance, fell behind on 858 859 his bills, couldn't obtain worker's compensation because it 860 controverted his case. He couldn't afford medication, his 861 necessary tests.

Through this program, he is receiving the care he needs, and his health is stable. He is not back to normal. He can't work anymore, but at least he is able to care for himself and his family.

We know that new conditions, things marked by longer 866 867 latency, will emerge among 9/11 responders since they were 868 exposed to carcinogens, neurotoxins, and other chemicals 869 toxic to the respiratory track in concentrations and 870 combinations never before encountered. The future health 871 outlook for responders remains uncertain, and the long-term 872 consequences of an unprecedented mixture of toxicants is not 873 known. All of us must remain vigilant for these problems. 874 Through the medical findings I have summarized this

morning and the persistence of illness that we are seeing in

875

a substantial number of responders, we must have stable, predictable federal funding for a medical program for the responders. We establish these programs. We have established ties with our patients, gained their trust in our care for them, and we hope to continue doing this without interruption of care.

882 We are also coordinating data. This is the only way we 883 are going to know what has happened to the 9/11 responders. 884 We, in real time, collect data on the outcomes, looking for 885 medical trends, patterns of disease. We can assess the 886 efficacy of treatments. We can inform the medical community, 887 the scientific community, and the legislative community of 888 these findings. We disseminate these regularly in medical 889 journals, and this will provide essential guidance in helping 890 us in any future disasters.

891 All of the good work is impossible without the Centers 892 of Excellence. We are providing state-of-the-art medical 893 care to men and women who risk everything for us in a time tantamount to war. Our goal in these programs is simple: we 894 895 want to provide the best care possible to these men and women 896 and not worry we won't be there if they need care for World 897 Trade Center related diseases. Passage of H.R. 847 will 898 ensure that the heroes of 9/11 are never forgotten. Thank 899 you.

902 Mr. {Pallone.} Thank you, Dr. Moline. Dr. Reibman is 903 next.

904 ^STATEMENT OF JOAN REIBMAN

905 } Dr. {Reibman.} Good morning, Chairman Pallone, Ranking 906 Member Deal, members of the committee. My name is Joan 907 Reibman, and I am an associate professor of medicine and 908 environmental medicine at New York University. And I am an 909 attending physician at Bellevue Hospital, a public hospital 910 on 27th Street in New York City.

I am a specialist in pulmonary medicine, and for the past 17, almost 18 years now, I have directed the NYU/Bellevue Asthma Center and am pleased to be able to testify on behalf of the local workers, the residents, and the students of downtown New York who are exposed to World Trade Center dust and fumes.

917 I am very pleased to be here today to support H.R. 847, 918 The James Zadroga 9/11 Health and Compensation Act which 919 would provided needed long-term funding for the monitoring 920 and treatment of those members of the community exposed to 921 toxic substances as a result of 9/11. Many of these 922 individuals unfortunately have become patients with long-term 923 health needed related to respiratory as well as other 924 physical and mental health illness.

925 Let me talk a little bit about populations at risk. You

926 have heard a lot about the heroes who helped in the recovery 927 of our city and our country. I would like to tell you a 928 little bit about the people that we serve, the local workers, 929 residents, and the students exposed to the World Trade Center 930 dust and fumes. On the morning of 9/11, about 300,000 931 individuals were at work in the area or in transit to their offices. Many were caught in the initial massive dust cloud 932 933 as the buildings collapsed. We now call these people the 934 dust cloud people. These are the thousands whom you saw in 935 the videos and the still photographs coated in white running 936 for their lives.

937 In the great outpouring of pride and patriotism after 938 9/11, many local workers returned to work one week later. 939 The massive World Trade Center cleanup and rescue operation 940 still in full force and not all the buildings completely 941 cleaned or decontaminated.

As you also know, lower Manhattan is a dense residential community. Almost 60,000 people of diverse race and ethnic backgrounds live south of Canal Street. They are economically diverse, some living in large public housing complexes, others in new co-ops. Lower Manhattan is also an educational hub.

948 There are almost 15,000 or more school children, large 949 numbers of university and college students. Many of these

950 students were locked in their building. Others were told to 951 run for their lives. The dust of the towers settled on 952 streets, playgrounds, cars, and buildings, entered 953 apartments, schools, and office buildings through windows, 954 building cracks and ventilation systems. The World Trade 955 Center buildings burned through December. Each of these 956 groups have potential for exposure to the dust, both indoors 957 and outdoors, and to fumes from the fires that continued to 958 burn.

959 So what were the initial health effects in these 960 populations? As pulmonologists in a public hospital, we 961 sought to determine whether the collapse of the buildings 962 posed a health hazard, and we worked to monitor the effect on 963 the local residents in collaboration with the New York State 964 Department of Health and with funds from the Centers for 965 Disease Control and looked at the rate of new respiratory 966 symptoms in the local residents after 9/11.

967 This first study was completed just over a year after 968 9/11 and has also been reported in three peer-reviewed 969 publications. We were able to document that individuals who 970 lived near the area compared to those who lived away from the 971 area had a more than three times the number of reported 972 incidents of eye irritation, nasal irritation, sinus 973 congestion, nosebleeds, headaches, a threefold increase in

974 lower respiratory symptoms including cough, shortness of 975 breath, a six and a half fold increase in wheezing. These 976 are people who were previously healthy, and this was also 977 associated with an almost twofold increase in unplanned 978 medical visits and use of medications prescribed for asthma.

979 Residents reporting a longer duration of dust or odors 980 or multiple sources of exposure had greater risk for symptoms 981 compared to those reporting a shorter duration. Data from a 982 New York City Department of Health and Mental Hygiene World 983 Trade Center registry further documented adverse health 984 effects in building evacuees, school children, and support 985 our original findings.

986 What do we now know about these populations and their 987 illness? After 9/11, we began to treat residents who felt 988 they had World Trade Center related illness in our Bellevue 989 Hospital asthma clinic. We then developed a community 990 collaboration and together began an unfounded program. We 991 were subsequently awarded American Red Cross liberties 992 disaster relief grant in 2005 to set up a medical treatment 993 program. And a year later, we received major funding from 994 the city of New York.

995 In the last year, we have just received our first 996 federal funding support for five years for a treatment 997 program from the National Institute for Occupational Safety

998 and Health. I am sorry, providing three years of support. 999 We know have an interdisciplinary medical and mental health 1000 program that has evaluated and is treating approximately 1001 3,500 patients. We continue to receive inquiries each week. 1002 Most come from local people; however, we have received calls 1003 from individuals living in about 20 other states.

1004 To enter our program, one has to have a medical or now 1005 mental health complaint. We are not a screening program for 1006 asymptomatic individuals. To date, our patients are almost 1007 equally men and women of diverse race, ethnicity, and many, 1008 although not all, are uninsured. Some have never sought 1009 medical care. Some have been unable to seek care for lack of 1010 insurance. Others have been seeing doctors for years since 1011 9/11 with recurrent bronchitis, pneumonia, sinusitis, or 1012 unexplained shortness of breath.

As described in an article that we have just published, these individuals, residents, local workers, as well as cleanup workers and a few responders in our program have symptoms that include persistent rhino-sinusitis, asthma-like symptoms of cough, shortness of breath or wheeze, for which they continue to need care more than seven, almost eight years after 9/11.

1020 Thirty percent have shortness of breath that is at a 1021 level consistent with significant activity limitation. Ten

1022 percent have the highest score on a standardized scale of 1023 breathlessness used for disability assessment. These are 1024 people who report that they were previously working and 1025 functional. Many report that they had been highly physically 1026 active, some training even for marathons. Over 50 percent of 1027 our population continues to have persistent post-traumatic 1028 stress disorder.

1029 There are a lot of questions about this population. 1030 What respiratory disease are we treating? We now believe 1031 that the exposure resulted in several respiratory illnesses 1032 with varied patterns. Many of our patients have irritant-1033 induced asthma. Although we can treat this, these 1034 individuals require prolonged courses of inhaled 1035 corticosteroids and bronchodilators, sometimes even oral 1036 steroids. Many will require these medications for years, if 1037 not for life.

1038 Others show a process in the lungs that may consist of a 1039 type of inflammation, a granulomatous process that is like an 1040 illness that is called sarcoid. Others have lung diseases 1041 that affect not only their airways or breathing tubes, but 1042 also the air sacs that allow for the exchange of oxygen and 1043 carbon dioxide. Some have pulmonary fibrosis, characterized 1044 as scarring or permanent damage in the lungs and are awaiting 1045 lung transplants.

How do we know whether an illness is World Trade Center induced? We often hear that these diseases are common in the population anyway. How do we know that these people are sick from World Trade Center exposure?

1050 Mr. {Pallone.} Dr. Reibman, I hate to interrupt you, 1051 but you have basically used about as much as the others. But 1052 looking at your written statement, you are not even halfway 1053 through. So I don't know if you could summarize from now on. 1054 Dr. {Reibman.} I would be pleased to summarize.

1055 Mr. {Pallone.} Thank you.

1056 Dr. {Reibman.} I would just like to say that without 1057 these centers, we will not understand what we are treating, 1058 who we are treating, and how to treat. We would not 1059 understand why some people are sick and others aren't. We 1060 would not understand if there are going to be late emergent 1061 diseases not only in the responder population but also in the 1062 community population. And therefore we think it is very 1063 important, and we very strongly support this bill that 1064 provides support not only for the responders but also for the 1065 community. And I would like to thank you very much.

1066 [The prepared statement of Dr. Reibman follows:]

1068 Mr. {Pallone.} Thank you, and I apologize. Your whole 1069 written testimony becomes part of the record in any case, but 1070 I am just trying to keep the time to a minimum if we can.

1071 Next is Dr. Melius.

1072 ^STATEMENT OF JIM MELIUS

1073 Dr. {Melius.} Thank you, Chairman Pallone and } 1074 Representative Weiner. I greatly appreciate the opportunity 1075 to appear before you at this hearing this morning. I am an 1076 occupational physician epidemiologist, currently work for the 1077 New York State Labor of Health and Safety Trust Fund in New 1078 York. And I also served the last several years as chair of 1079 the steering community for the medical monitoring and 1080 treatment program.

1081 I believe that Drs. Moline and Reibman have already 1082 presented a good description of some of the illnesses that 1083 people are suffering that were exposed to the World Trade 1084 Center. I don't want to repeat that information. Only 1085 indicate it is certainly remarkable how many of the people 1086 The numbers sometimes get lost when one thinks what a are. 1087 high percentage is, as both of them have presented here 1088 today.

1089 We have a lot of sick people, and there are many that 1090 are disabled and many that are continuing to need intensive 1091 medical care.

1092 I would like to focus briefly on why do we need the 1093 federal program and what are some of the features of this

1094 legislation that I think deserve support here in Congress.
1095 We need the federal funding for this program because other
1096 funding just is not available. Health insurance does not
1097 cover work-related health problems. So they automatically
1098 get turned down. That includes Medicare.

Many of the people in the community don't lack health insurance. All the problems that, I think, actually this subcommittee may be dealing with in terms of health care reform. We have major problems there. So those two together, I think, make health insurance a very--you know, provides very limited help for these people.

1105 One would think that worker's compensation would be a 1106 logical place that would support these kinds of illnesses. 1107 To the extent that they are work-related, it certainly could 1108 The problem is that worker's compensation is not very be. 1109 good at handling new kinds of illnesses, new kinds of 1110 findings, and takes a long time. The average claim takes 1111 over three years to make it through the system. And then 1112 even then it can be contested for many more years. If there 1113 are changes in treatment, regimen, something, the insurer can 1114 also contest that. So it is not a system that provides for 1115 good medical care for the kind of intensive medical care that 1116 these people require, and one that is complicated, one that is constantly changing as the Centers of Excellence learn 1117

1118 more about that.

1119 So I think, just to be clear, the legislation provide 1120 for some recovery of whatever funding might be available for 1121 health insurance or worker's compensation, but that will 1122 never be able to provide the kind of comprehensive funding 1123 that is needed for these medical programs.

So what has been devised in H.R. 847, which I strongly support, is a mechanism that provides where the federal government would provide funding set up so it goes to Centers of Excellence. Well, why Centers of Excellence? Because we need centers such as the ones that Dr. Reibman and Dr. Moline run that have significant core of expertise and experience in dealing with World Trade Center medical problems.

1131 As we have heard Mr. Torres say, when he first went to 1132 Mt. Sinai, he finally found a medical care provider that 1133 understood his problems and was able and ready to provide the 1134 kind of care that he needed. And the Centers of Excellence 1135 can do that, that by seeing large numbers of people with 1136 these conditions, they can understand the problems, develop 1137 the appropriate treatment, appropriate ways of diagnosing 1138 these problems. And they can standardize the diagnosis and 1139 care of that.

1140 They can also collect the data that is needed to learn 1141 not only what is happening to these people and what the

1142 findings are, but also are new diseases going to emerge. The 1143 list of covered conditions currently in the bill cover those 1144 that we know about now, that have a sound scientific basis in 1145 the medical literature, the asthma, post-traumatic stress, 1146 and other diseases that have been mentioned here. But we may 1147 very well see other kinds of illnesses, cancer. We just 1148 don't know going forward.

1149 By having the data collection place, we will be able to 1150 recognize those as they appear. There are already studies 1151 underway looking at this, and there are mechanisms in the 1152 bill both on an individual basis and on a collective basis to 1153 be able to take care of people with health conditions that 1154 aren't yet recognized but may be. But those would only be 1155 triggered if there is significant scientific and medical 1156 evidence saying that those conditions should be covered.

There are also provisions in the bill that provide for significant oversight by the federal government in all aspects of this program. Certification that people are eligible for program, certification that they are eligible for treatment, that they have a World Trade Center condition that should be treated.

Oversight over the quality of the medical care, oversight over the reimbursement for that medical care and I think the mechanism that parallels other federal programs in

1166 terms of providing a good oversight of this program. So it 1167 is not something that, you know, where the money will be 1168 carelessly spent. It will be very carefully spent and very 1169 carefully monitored by the federal government.

1170 And finally it also sets up a mechanism for recovery 1171 from health insurance and from worker's compensation insurers 1172 where that is appropriate for medical care treatment costs. 1173 So if, for example, in worker's compensation. If there is a 1174 claim that has been recognized or if a claim that is in 1175 process eventually gets recognized in the system, there will 1176 be a program in place for the federal government to recover 1177 the reimbursement that was already spent, the medical care 1178 costs that the federal government has already spent.

And I think that will make a significant difference in terms of, you know, a fair share from those sources of funding the same time without impeding or unnecessarily delaying the medical care for the responders or for the community residents that are in this program.

I think this bill as it is presently developed here, the medical program is--it has the right safeguards. I think it will provide excellent medical care, a way for us to provide what these people deserve for the sacrifices they made to our country and one that without the federal assistance just would not be provided for them.

1190 It has already been going on eight years, and I think it 1191 is, you know, time we try to get this program in place on a 1192 more permanent basis and provide a good sound and excellent 1193 medical program for these people. Thank you, and I would be 1194 glad to answer any questions.

1195 [The prepared statement of Dr. Melius follows:]

1197 Mr. {Pallone.} Thank you, Dr. Melius. Mr. Holloway.

1198 ^STATEMENT OF CASWELL F. HOLLOWAY

1199 } Mr. {Holloway.} Thank you. Thank you, Chairman 1200 Pallone, Ranking Member Deal, Representative Weiner, for 1201 convening this hearing on this important bill, the H.R. 847, 1202 the 9/11 Health and Compensation Act. I also want to thank 1203 Speaker Nancy Pelosi and the New York delegation for making 1204 it a priority to enact legislation to establish a sustained, 1205 long-term 9/11 health program.

My name is Cas Holloway, and I am chief of staff to New York City's Deputy Mayor for Operations, Edward Skyler, and a special advisor to Mayor Bloomberg. I was also an executive director of a panel convened by Mayor Bloomberg at the fifth anniversary of the attacks to assess the health impacts of 9/11.

1212 That report called for sustained, long-term program to 1213 provide monitoring and treatment to address the health 1214 impacts of 9/11 and for the reopening of the Victims' 1215 Compensation Fund. Since that time, Mayor Bloomberg, myself, 1216 and many others of the members of the mayor's administration 1217 have traveled here to Washington to make the case for 1218 sustained federal funding. In fact, as you may recall, last 1219 July, I testified before this subcommittee. And it is a

1220 privilege to appear before you again. It is also a privilege 1221 to appear here with Mr. Torres and these distinguished 1222 doctors who are involved in the treatment of these 1223 conditions.

As members of this committee know, a tremendous amount 1224 1225 has happened since I last appeared before you. In terms of this bill, the city has engaged in extensive discussions with 1226 1227 stakeholders, including people at this table, and some of the 1228 issues that existed in the prior version of the bill have 1229 been addressed. In terms of the city's economic outlook, we 1230 are still in the throes of an economic crisis that has 1231 resulted in the highest unemployment rate in New York City 1232 since October 2003 at a projected budget gap of \$3.2 billion 1233 in fiscal year 2011 that could grow to \$4 billion and more in 1234 future years.

Mayor Bloomberg has moved aggressively since well before the scope of this current crisis became apparent to save for tough times and cut costs. But even with these measures, the city will have to make deep cuts.

I mention these statistics not merely because they are timely, but because the city's finances are severely strained. We must concentrate resources on providing the essential services New Yorkers and visitors to the city need and on getting the economy running again. With respect to

1244 H.R. 847, the version of the bill currently before this 1245 committee is an important step forward, and in its broad 1246 strokes achieves what the city has long been seeking: 1247 sustained funding to treat those who are sick or who could become sick because of 9/11, and it reopens the Victim 1248 1249 Compensation Fund so that those who were harmed can be fairly 1250 compensated quickly and efficiently without having to prove 1251 that the city, its contractors, or anyone but the terrorists 1252 were at fault.

1253 But there are two important issues that, in the city's 1254 view, must be addressed. First, the bill requires the city 1255 to pay 10 percent of the entire treatment and monitoring 1256 costs for anyone eligible under the bill. Based on the best 1257 information we have to date, which Chairman Pallone mentioned 1258 from CBO--I am sorry, Ranking Member Deal--this translates into approximately \$50 million per year and \$500 million over 1259 1260 10 years.

And it is unfair for New Yorkers to bear so much of what we believe is clearly a national obligation. Moreover, particularly at a time when the city is being forced to make deep cuts including to essential services, this cost share is simply too high.

Second, regardless of what the city's cost share ultimately turns out to be, the bill does not give the city

1268 adequate oversight of the programs it is expected to fund. 1269 This issue can be easily addressed by the inclusion of a 1270 right-to-audit or similar mechanism in the bill, and it should be included to make sure that we can oversee the 1271 1272 program appropriately. We are confident that these issues 1273 can be addressed before this committee and in this 1274 legislative process, and the members of the committee have 1275 heard a lot of the detail about the scope and impacts of 1276 9/11.

1277 So I won't repeat that except to say that what the 1278 mayor's report established when it came out in 2007, I think 1279 beyond question, was that this is a serious problem, that 1280 people are suffering serious mental and physical illnesses as 1281 a result of 9/11, and that additional people continue to get 1282 sick, that it is imperative that those people get treated, 1283 that there continues to be research to fully understand the 1284 impacts, and that the funding be sustained. That is why we 1285 are here, and that is what we are seeking. And the research 1286 that has come out since the mayor's report, which Dr.

1287 Reibman, Dr. Moline and others have continued to produce,

1288 continues to validate these facts.

I just want to mention quickly a couple of programs that haven't been mentioned here today. Most importantly the FDNY's program, which is also a Center of Excellence and has

1292 involved 14,000 of the firefighters who are being monitored 1293 and several thousand who are being treated.

In addition to that, with the federal government's assistance, we have also started back in 2003 the World Trade Center health registry which is without a doubt the best source of research that we are going to have in addition to the clinical research that we get out of the Centers of Excellence to ensure that we fully understand the impacts of 9/11.

1301 Now, the city hasn't waited for federal funding in order 1302 to address the needs that we found in our report. And in 1303 fact, the city is the primary funder of the Health and 1304 Hospitals Corporation Center of Excellence that Dr. Reibman 1305 runs. However, this program and many other programs are in 1306 jeopardy because the city took up the funding obligation to 1307 run these programs based on a need and also on an assumption 1308 that the federal government would ultimately come to the 1309 table and help us to get fully engaged and cover these costs. 1310 That is why is it so important that this bill be passed. 1311 As Dr. Melius explained, this bill provides long-term 1312 funding and has controls in it that we think are appropriate 1313 and ensure that money will only go to those who have actually 1314 been affected and are ill because of 9/11. So I won't repeat 1315 that.

1316 And I think to sum up, the--pardon me for one second. 1317 To sum up, the bill has important controls. It establishes 1318 the long-term funding that the city is seeking and is 1319 required to ensure that this problem, which we know is long 1320 term and we know can't be properly sustained by year-to-year 1321 ad hoc appropriations, can continue so that those who are 1322 injured as a result of 9/11, which was an attack on the 1323 Nation and not merely on New York City, can get the treatment 1324 they need.

1325 And it is important to note when we talk about the 1326 registry and as Representative Nadler and King mentioned in 1327 their testimony, this is not just a New York City problem. 1328 The World Trade Center health registry contains 1329 representatives for nearly every congressional district in 1330 the country. Ranking Member Deal, there are several hundred 1331 from Georgia who participated and a few from your district. 1332 And I am sure, as you know, Chairman Pallone, many thousands

1333 from the state of New Jersey and your district.

As I mentioned at the outset of my testimony, there are the two issues that the city believes needs to be addressed and can be addressed in this legislative process. That is the cost share issue, and the issue of oversight if the city is going to be expected to fund programs that it doesn't control.

1340 And I do want to say importantly the city is not opposed 1341 to a cost share at all. In fact, Mayor Bloomberg fully 1342 embraced an earlier version of this bill in which the city 1343 was going to be required to pay a 5 percent share of the 1344 Centers of Excellence that are run by the city, which is the 1345 Health and Hospitals Corporation and the one treating community members. We think this is important because it 1346 1347 gives the city the incentive that is needed to ensure that 1348 funds are spent carefully and wisely.

However, the share that is in the bill, which could cost New York City taxpayers alone up to half a billion dollars is simply too high. However, we are hopeful that these issues will be addressed, that we can fully support a bill and that it will be presented for the President's signature before another anniversary of the attacks passes. Thank you very much, and I will be happy to answer any questions.

1356 [The prepared statement of Mr. Holloway follows:]

Mr. {Pallone.} Thank you, Mr. Holloway. Thank all of 1358 1359 you. We now are going to have questions from the panel, and since there are only three of us, we may actually have two 1360 1361 series of questions. We will see if anyone else joins us. 1362 You know I quess I am sort of following up on what Mr. 1363 Holloway said in the sense that, you know, if you wanted to 1364 be devil's advocate, and I guess I shouldn't be devil's 1365 advocate because I am from New Jersey and I would like, you 1366 know, this to be as robust as possible since so many of my 1367 New Jersey residents are impacted.

1368 But, you know, I guess one could argue, you know, the 1369 program exists. Obviously you have described how effective 1370 it is. To my knowledge, nobody is being turned away at this 1371 point. But we are really here with this legislation is 1372 making a permanent authorization for a program that basically 1373 does exist and has been funded for the last few years. 1374 And my questions are more along the lines of, you know, 1375 why do we need to make it permanent? And is this the time to 1376 do it? You know part of the problem that we have had with 1377 all of this is knowing how many people are going to be 1378 impacted, how many disorders are going to come forward. Ιt 1379 does seem that as time goes on, there are more people that 1380 come forward and more people that are being seriously

1381 affected in terms of their health. And if that trend 1382 continues or accelerates, you know, we may have even more 1383 people that we anticipate because, you know, you have the 1384 caps right now in the program. I guess it is 15,000 1385 responders and 15,000 residents beyond those that are already 1386 in the program.

1387 So I quess I would start first with Dr. Melius or any of 1388 the doctors. You know you mentioned, I think, that there is 1389 a list of identified World Trade Center related health 1390 conditions in the bill. Do you expect that those additional 1391 diseases will emerge as the World Trade Center related, you 1392 know, conditions have more of an impact? And under the bill, 1393 how are additional conditions added to the list? Let us at 1394 least start with that.

1395 Dr. {Melius.} Okay, I think we all would expect that 1396 there will be additional conditions added.

1397 Mr. {Pallone.} Right.

Dr. {Melius.} There are a number under investigation already, and we know that people were exposed to carcinogens and a lot of toxic materials. And so I think looking forward, we would expect some. In the bill, I think, it was structured in a way that puts the caps in place so that that wouldn't get out of hand. And in terms of the list of covered conditions, we have to handle it without having to
1405 come back to Congress and say well, you know, this program is 1406 going to cover hundreds of thousands of people because they 1407 are sick, then we ought to rethink how we do this and so 1408 forth.

1409 In terms of the list of covered conditions, you know, 1410 the current list is based on one of clinical experience, Dr. 1411 Reibman and Dr. Moline and others, plus what has been found 1412 in the studies. It is a well-based risk, and in the current, 1413 you know, scientifically sound and reviewed multiple 1414 researchers that made these findings including some outside 1415 the program.

1416 So I think everyone is confident in what is on the list. 1417 Going forward, there is a mechanism to add specific covered 1418 conditions, say a type of cancer or something that is seen. 1419 One, there has to be some amount of scientific evidence 1420 available demonstrating that it should be covered.

1421 Secondly there is a process where the federal 1422 government, NIOSH, would promulgate a regulation to add that 1423 condition on the list of covered conditions. So they would 1424 be required, as with any regulation, to justify it, justify 1425 the cost, justify, more importantly, the science behind that. 1426 There is also provisions in there for a scientific advisory 1427 committee for the program to also review that information and 1428 be involved in making that recommendation.

Mr. {Pallone.} Now, in terms of where we are, I mean 1429 1430 you--I certainly get the impression from listening to you, 1431 and I know this may be difficult to answer. But I certainly 1432 get the impression that as time goes on, we are going to see 1433 more people that are affected and possibly worsening 1434 conditions. I mean is that just inevitable because as people 1435 age, you know, these symptoms and diseases get worse? Or is 1436 it possible, you know, that at some point, you know, that 1437 doesn't happen because, you know, time is somehow a healer? 1438 I mean I get the impression the opposite, that we should 1439 expect as times goes on that we are just going to have more 1440 people and worse conditions.

1441 Dr. {Melius.} I will let Dr. Moline and Dr. Reibman 1442 follow up, but I think it is a mix. There are people newly 1443 coming in that develop conditions, but there are also people 1444 getting better. In fact, one thing that has been observed in 1445 the responders program is that the treatment costs actually 1446 appear to be going down per patient on an annual basis 1447 because patients get stabilized in terms of treatment and so forth. A number of them do get better, are able to continue 1448 1449 to work and so forth. Now, some don't. And so there is a 1450 balance there. So I don't think it is inevitable that these numbers will continue to get bigger and bigger because some 1451 1452 people will recover.

1453 Mr. {Pallone.} But let me just ask--

1454 Dr. {Melius.} We just aren't able to predict 1455 accurately, I think.

Mr. {Pallone.} Well, then I guess my third question would be--and if you want to ask Dr. Moline to answer it--the caps that are in place, I mean are they based on projections that, you know, you are seeing an acceleration of the numbers? I mean how is that derived at, or is it totally artificial?

1462 Dr. {Melius.} The caps are--on the responder program, 1463 the caps are based on an assessment of how many people we 1464 know that would be eligible for the responder program. We 1465 know how many people, you know, worked at the cite at least, 1466 you know, within, you know, several thousand. So we do that, 1467 and we have some, I think, pretty good idea of how many of 1468 those, you know, haven't come forward yet who are eligible 1469 and might come forward in the future. And then, you know, 1470 the assumption that they are not going to be any sicker than 1471 the people that are already in the program. In fact, there 1472 are probably going to be fewer that require treatment. So I 1473 think it is unlikely that that cap will be reached for the 1474 responder program.

1475 For the community program, I think we had less 1476 experience and maybe Dr. Reibman wants to comment, but it was

1477 trying to say that given the time when this legislation was 1478 being passed, given what was coming forward at that time, who 1479 we knew at that point that was coming forward that was ill 1480 that was eligible for the community program, you know, that 1481 that was a reasonable number that would fit in going forward 1482 and at least would for, you know, some significant period of 1483 time, 10 years or more, would, you know, be legitimately 1484 capping the program without denying large numbers of people 1485 care. It may need to be adjusted we don't know.

1486 Mr. {Pallone.} Okay, gentlemen, I am being a little 1487 loose with the time here since there is only three of us. I 1488 am not going to clock any of us here. So if Dr. Reibman or 1489 anybody else wants to answer some of the questions.

1490 Dr. {Reibman.} I just want to reinforce what Dr. Melius 1491 just said which is for the community group, we have very little information to go on. We could only go by what we 1492 1493 were seeing, data from the New York City Department of Health 1494 registry where we could sort of estimate a burden of illness 1495 and also understand that some people will be going to their 1496 own physicians. So it was really, with the information we 1497 had at hand, our best estimate.

1498 Mr. {Pallone.} Okay, Dr. Moline?

1499 Dr. {Moline.} I think if we look at the responders who 1500 are coming in, about 150 are coming in consortium wide every

1501 month. This is down from the first two years of our program, 1502 beginning in 2002 to 2004, we have 12,000 responders.

1503 Mr. {Pallone.} So you are actually getting less per 1504 month instead of more?

1505 Dr. {Moline.} We are getting far fewer per month 1506 because--

1507 Mr. {Pallone.} Fewer.

1508 Dr. {Moline.} --most people are already in. I mean the 1509 question is why are some people coming in now.

1510 Mr. {Pallone.} Right.

Dr. {Moline.} Where have they been? And there are a couple reasons. First and foremost, many people are very stoic. We also know that in a population that is overwhelmingly male, the responder population, they tend not to access health. They don't like doctors. I don't know why.

1517 Mr. {Pallone.} Stoic is the same as denial? Or that is 1518 a little different I guess?

1519 Dr. {Moline.} It is both.

1520 Mr. {Pallone.} Yeah.

Dr. {Moline.} It is a nice way of putting it sometimes, but some people--you know actually what I have often been amazed at is people feel they don't deserve to come in. Others are sicker than they are, and they reach a certain

point. Or their wife says, you know, you have been coughing 1525 1526 for seven years. Can you get it checked out finally? Or 1527 other health problems. Or their friend is getting care, and 1528 they say you know what, I am getting care. You were with me. 1529 Come in. So there are a variety of motivating factors, or 1530 they may just have had enough and that is why they are coming 1531 in. Some people actually haven't heard about the programs, 1532 which is surprising to us, but they may not know it is out 1533 there, and so they are coming in now for the first time.

1534 Mr. {Pallone.} Okay, Mr. Deal.

1535 Mr. {Deal.} Well, first of all, thank you all for being 1536 here. We do have to ask the hard questions, and the first 1537 question that comes to mind, I think, from somebody who is 1538 not from the immediate area affected is that if we are asking 1539 the taxpayers of this country to pick up a tab that is estimated, from what we have up to this point, of at least a 1540 1541 billion dollars a year additional federal expenditures for a 1542 restricted group of individuals, the first question I think 1543 that comes to mind is why do we have the stories such as Dr. 1544 Moline's illustration of, I believe, the carpenter who said 1545 that his worker's compensation claim was controverted and he 1546 was not being able to receive treatment based on the first 1547 line of providing treatment, which most people consider to be 1548 worker's compensation? Is the city of New York continuing,

1549 Mr. Holloway, to resist worker's compensation claims? And if 1550 you are and you are saying that the conditions on which you 1551 are being asked to compensate are not compensable, why should 1552 the federal taxpayer pick up something the city of New York 1553 is not wiling to pay for?

Mr. {Holloway.} Well, the answer to that question has a couple of elements to it. First, when it comes down to individual worker's compensation claims, yes, there are cases that are 9/11 related that are controverted in the worker's compensation parlance by the city. But the reason for that is really--and Dr. Melius I think will jump in later.

1560 But the reason for that is that the worker's 1561 compensation system itself is not equipped to deal 1562 particularly well with these types of claims. The issues 1563 that we are dealing with with 9/11 related illnesses at this 1564 point, they are late to arise. They are latent, and so 1565 important questions of causation and other issues arise in 1566 the context of these long tale claims that make it difficult 1567 to resolve one way or the other without an extended look at 1568 what is the medical evidence and so forth.

And the reason for that is because the city does have an obligation through the worker's compensation system. You can't simply decide that it doesn't matter. You have to meet the standards in the statute. You have to--the city does

1573 have an obligation to, you know, protect the public. And so 1574 the way that the system is set up, it is poorly equipped to 1575 handle this.

Now, New York State has made some changes in the worker's compensation law that address a few of these things, and one of those is an extended period to put in for a claim so that you don't have the two-year statute of limitations problem and some other things.

1581 But in the main, the system has some structural elements 1582 to it that make it difficult also. The system itself will 1583 compensate certain types of claims, but there are other 1584 people who are impacted. Uniform services actually get their 1585 compensation through--don't get their compensation through 1586 worker's compensation. It is a line-of-duty injury, but 1587 similar issues arise, and then community members, residents, 1588 and others who are impacted aren't eligible for worker's 1589 compensation.

One other point is that the bill itself provides that in the event worker's compensation is recovered or it is deemed that it will be likely to be recovered, that goes first in terms of paying for the claims, which we fully support. So that is a long answer but--

1595 Mr. {Deal.} Dr. Melius?

1596 Dr. {Melius.} Can I just elaborate briefly? For the

New York State worker's compensation systems required two, at least two pieces of legislation to amend that to make it possible that all the World Trade Center claims to be dealt with within that system and had a statute of limitations and just the nature of the injury coming out or illness coming out of their work. And that has helped somewhat.

I recently served on a committee and then a task force for the state legislature to examine this whole issue. We are issuing a report, which I believe will become public tomorrow on this. Made a number of recommendations for actually requiring further legislative changes that we think that we will make this system work better.

1609 The city of New York has actually agreed with those 1610 changes even though it will, in some ways, you know, 1611 facilitate claims against the city of New York. So we are 1612 trying to work together to address that. It is just hard in 1613 a bureaucratic legalistic system like the state worker's 1614 compensation system.

And even when it does, you know, for example, you would have a situation where someone is getting compensated for their asthma that may not provide help for their sinus condition or some other condition. It has to be, you know, a separate claim and follow through on. So it is complicated and difficult. All the clinics that are involved here, the

1621 Centers of Excellence, are also working very hard to assist 1622 people in filing claims. That was not--help was not 1623 available up until about two years ago. So there are efforts 1624 underway to try to improve that. And I think the city and 1625 others are supporting that. But it is still going to be 1626 difficult. It will never be, I think, an adequate for this 1627 particular situation.

1628 Mr. {Deal.} Dr. Moline?

1629 Dr. {Moline.} For this particular gentleman, his claim 1630 was controverted and eventually--it took about three or four 1631 years--it was judged in his favor. That is a typical delay. 1632 During that three or four-year period when he was no longer 1633 able to work and he lost his health insurance, he had no 1634 avenue to get health care. The program stepped in. We are 1635 now recouping the cost, and they will be offset as program 1636 income within the monies that we have received. So it allows 1637 to extend the care that we provide.

But, you know, in his particular case, we tried to get diagnostic testing because he had such horrible reflux that he needed to have an endoscopy, a simple test where you look in and to make sure that he didn't have something more serious going on. And that particular test was denied by worker's compensation. Turned out that he had he test, and they found some abnormalities. That was paid for by the

1645 program. And it allowed us to give him the appropriate 1646 treatment to get him better.

As a treating physician, this program has allowed us to provide the care for people to make sure that they get better. What we also do is we fill out the necessary paperwork to make sure that compensation, if it is there, everyone gets the appropriate medical documentation that they need to make sure these claims go forward as well.

1653 Mr. {Deal.} I think your statements have really 1654 illustrated the point that I am making is that somebody from 1655 the outside looking at this saying that if this kind of 1656 injury or problem resulting from exposure does not meet the 1657 definition of a work-related condition under worker's 1658 compensation law for the state of New York or the city of New 1659 York City, then why should we have a broader, all-1660 encompassing definition that the federal taxpayer is required

1661 to pick up?

And that is just a problem, and I think trying to refine the statute to address that as best we can is very important because I think it is something that you have to convince other people that are you not just coordinating this big picnic basket that certain groups of individuals can come dip into the federal treasury through this mechanism.

1668 Let me ask one other practical thing, and that is I see

1669 the group that is here, and many of them have the New York 1670 Fire Department EMS shirts on. And one of the things that 1671 has been called to my attention is that apparently there is 1672 no provision for retirees or a retiree representative from 1673 that group to be on this advisory board that the statute 1674 creates. I would assume that you are going to have a large 1675 number of people who are in a retirement status that are 1676 going to be eligible on an ongoing basis for some of these 1677 benefits.

1678 Do any of you know why that retiree group would not have 1679 an advisor board member?

1680 Dr. {Melius.} Well, yeah, let me answer that. I chair 1681 the steering committee that, I think, is being referred to 1682 here. The steering committee was set up with a specific 1683 number of labor union representatives beginning and along 1684 with representatives from all of the participating medical 1685 Those representatives, the union's representatives, centers. 1686 do represent retirees. The union I work with has at least 1687 three retiree organizations that are part of this program 1688 that are consulted. And we provide benefits to those 1689 retirees, health and pension. I believe all of the other 1690 unions involved do the same.

1691 There are many other union, other groups that 1692 potentially could be represented on the steering committee.

1693 There has to be some way of selecting those. The original 1694 selection was based on who was most involved in the program. 1695 It is certainly clear that the people in the retiree groups 1696 as time goes by and more of these people age and get old will 1697 be important in terms of representation. We need to work out 1698 a way for them to be involved in the program.

1699 There are other ways. Mt. Sinai has its own advisory 1700 committee. The fire department does. Some of the other 1701 clinics do. Dr. Reibman has a program. And we also--there 1702 are provisions in the legislation for additional people to be 1703 added to the steering committee, and so that will be worked 1704 out over time.

But there are many groups to choose from so it is not like there is one umbrella retiree group that one could select. It has to be looked at. Some of those people with concerns I have met with and have offered to go out and meet with some more to talk. And we want to make sure that their concerns are addressed.

1711 Mr. {Pallone.} Thank you, Mr. Deal. Mr. Engel.

Mr. {Engel.} Thank you, Mr. Chairman. You know I live about eight miles from the World Trade Center. My district begins about eight miles. And I remember about five days after 9/11, burnt pieces of paper falling from the sky into my district. With my own eyes, I remember seeing that. And

1717 that is eight miles away. So imagine the people who live 1718 right on top within a 1.5-mile radius. I understand the 1719 community program would help only the people with the 1.5-1720 mile radius.

I want to talk a little bit about the World Trade Center related illnesses experienced by people living in the disaster area. That hasn't received as much public attention as those of first responders, but in many cases, they are just as serious. And I don't take away anything from the first responders. I fight 100 percent for them, but there are also people in the area.

This legislation provides medical monitoring and treatment services for community residents and workers affected by the 9/11 attacks, not just the responders. So Dr. Reibman, can you tell us about the kinds of people that the community program treats and how they were exposed to the toxic dust from the World Trade Center collapse?

Dr. {Reibman.} We have a variety of people, and we sort of group people by whether they were residents, whether they worked in the area, went to school in the area, or were there commuting, for example, people who were stuck in the tunnel at the time of the collapse.

1739 We also then look at people who were in the initial dust 1740 clouds of that day or people who came back a week later. And

1741 what we are finding is that there is a great variety and 1742 difference in how people's health responded to these 1743 exposures but that many people have many of the same 1744 illnesses that you are hearing described in the responders of 1745 chronic grinus sinusitis, that is sinus infections, nasal 1746 congestion, shortness of breath due to asthma or other lung 1747 diseases as well as qastroesophageal reflux disorders and 1748 clearly a lot of mental health issues.

1749 Mr. {Engel.} You talked a little bit about the kinds of 1750 illnesses these community members are suffering from as a 1751 result of their exposures. Can you tell me about the 1752 similarities similar to those of the responders? What I am 1753 trying to get at is that I believe that it is just as serious 1754 to help the people living in the immediate area as well. And 1755 do you find that the first responders and the people in the 1756 area have had similar difficulties?

1757 Dr. {Reibman.} What you are raising is a very important 1758 question, which is how do we know whether these illnesses are 1759 World Trade Center related or not. And we don't always know 1760 except by seeing many of the same symptoms over and over and 1761 over again in many of these people. The severity is clearly 1762 variable, and we have people who have very, very persistent 1763 sinus disease who have required surgery for their sinuses on 1764 repeated occasions.

We have people who, for example, used to run a marathon who are now on chronic medications. We have people who can no longer--had to have their offices moved because their cough was so irritating that their workmates couldn't sit next to them. So that there is clearly a variety of severity in these people.

We think that is due in part to degree of exposure.
People who were in the dust cloud, for example, on the first day or people who had prolonged exposure as well as individual response to these exposures.

Mr. {Engel.} Dr. Melius, can you explain what role provide health insurance would play in the community program under this legislation?

1778 Dr. {Melius.} Yes, under the current legislation, 1779 people that have coverage, there would be a billing mechanism 1780 set up for the government to be able to, through the clinics, 1781 the treating clinics, to recover the cost. So health 1782 insurance for those who have it, non-work-related health 1783 problem, health insurance would be essentially the first 1784 payer. And then what was not covered by health insurance 1785 would be covered through the federal program. 1786 Mr. {Engel.} Thank you. Can I ask you also, Dr.

1787 Melius, the legislation relies on Centers of Excellence for 1788 providing most of monitoring and medical care for the

1789 program. Responders and community residents who qualify for 1790 the program can only receive services at the program's 1791 expense through these Centers of Excellence.

1792 Now of course, the patients, the way I understand it, 1793 they continue to see their personal physicians. But if they 1794 want the monitoring and treatment services for the World 1795 Trade Center related conditions that the program offers them 1796 without charge, they will have to use the Centers of 1797 Excellence. Is that true? Am I right? And in your 1798 testimony, you defend the continued use of the Centers of 1799 Excellence. So why do you think that we should continue to 1800 rely on these centers rather than allow individuals to use 1801 their personal physicians?

1802 Dr. {Melius.} Yes, the reason for relying on the 1803 centers is because given all that we don't know about what is 1804 going to happen to these people medically and given the 1805 complications of diagnosing and treating them, we believe 1806 that a better quality overall medical care can be provided to 1807 them through these Centers of Excellence rather than trying 1808 to rely on providing that same experience and medical 1809 information to their personal physicians.

1810 Now, both Dr. Reibman and Dr. Moline would tell you that 1811 they coordinate with the personal physicians. So that--who 1812 may be treating the same person for some unrelated health

1813 condition, you know, heart disease or something that is not 1814 related to the World Trade Center. But I think it has been 1815 the experience of all the programs that it has not worked 1816 well for people to go to their personal physicians because 1817 they just don't have the experience in handling these types 1818 of conditions, and the quality of care is not as good. 1819 Now, there are also provisions in the legislation to allow for the expansion of the Centers of Excellence to bring 1820

1821 in new centers and so forth. And I am sure, in fact, that 1822 the judiciary hearing on March 31, the police detective who 1823 had serious pulmonary disease and had developed before there 1824 was a treatment program, was being seen by another major 1825 medical center in New York City. And there is no reason that 1826 that medical center could not become part of this program, 1827 and there are a number that expressed interest.

So I think we need to expand that out. It is also certainly true for the national program, people living in other parts of the country, that there be additional centers and additional physicians brought in. But it is trying to strike a balance between getting good care and ensuring that there is good follow up and at the same time, something that is convenient and practical for the patients.

1835 Mr. {Engel.} Dr. Moline, did you want to comment?
1836 Dr. {Moline.} I think Mr. Torres actually told us why a

1837 Center of Excellence can be essential in his care. He was 1838 going to a wonderful physician on the outside, but when he 1839 was able to come to a Center of Excellence, they were able to 1840 make a connection between his illnesses because we have seen 1841 thousands of people like Mr. Torres with the same 1842 constellation of symptoms and knew how to treat him in the 1843 same manner that we have treated thousands of others. 1844 One other issue related to not having Centers of 1845 Excellence is if we want to know what the ramifications were 1846 from a disaster, a manmade disaster, terrorist or otherwise, 1847 if the cure is fragmented, if it is in--if everyone is not 1848 receiving centralized care in a number of centers, then we 1849 will have no way of knowing the true scope of illnesses. 1850 There will be no way of being able to scientifically say that 1851 exposures to--of this sort can cause health problems. So 1852 that in 20 years when something else happens, we can say that every doctor is going to know because it will have been in 1853 1854 the literature that these are the things you do first. 1855 And they are in this not only to treat people now, but 1856 to be able to inform the doctors and the people who might 1857 have ailments going forward.

1858 Mr. {Engel.} Mr. Torres, would you want to comment on 1859 that?

1860 Mr. {Torres.} Yes, just like the doctor said I already

1861 commented on my experience. I was going to my doctor almost 1862 a year, and I had a CAT scan done from my neck down, and they 1863 never found nothing wrong with my throat, but I was losing my 1864 voice. When I went to the monitoring program, when they were 1865 evaluating me, one of the doctors there said well, Mr. 1866 Torres, if you have GERD, acid reflux and you are having a 1867 breathing problem, a lot of people need to see an ENT doctor. 1868 So we are going to make an appointment for you, and they 1869 sent me to a throat doctor. And when they put the scope down, there was a polyp, a mass, in my throat, which wasn't 1870 1871 picked up by a CAT scan, which wasn't picked up by my doctor, 1872 which--this might sound strange--was a very happy moment for 1873 me because I got an answer out of a year of no answers.

And I am one of those males that don't like going to the doctors. I am one of those males that my wife had to force me to go to the doctor, and I was so happy to finally get an answer because I was tired of going to the doctor and coming back home and not knowing what was wrong. And I knew there was something wrong.

1880 Mr. {Engel.} Well, thank you. It is very good to hear 1881 firsthand experiences, Mr. Torres, Mr. Holloway. Let me ask 1882 one final question. How does the legislation--and perhaps 1883 Dr. Melius would be the best to answer this, but anybody else 1884 can. How does the legislation ensure that the care received

1885 through a Center of Excellence is coordinated with the care 1886 received by a responder or community resident from his or her 1887 personal physician? Mr. Torres talked about how he wasn't 1888 getting answers. But if someone has gone to a personal 1889 physician, how is it coordinated with the Center of 1890 Excellence? How does this legislation ensure that it is 1891 coordinated?

1892 Dr. {Melius.} I think there are no specific provisions 1893 in the legislation for that, but the normal way that--usual 1894 way that these physicians operated in these centers is they 1895 focus on World Trade Center related conditions. So they are 1896 focused on the sinus, on the lung disease and so forth. 1897 When there are other personal health problems that 1898 people may have, existing conditions or something else 1899 develops that is non World Trade Center related, then as any 1900 specialist would, they would refer back to the primary care 1901 physician. They would be building off what medical records, 1902 what medical information they would be in contact with that 1903 personal physician in terms of either doing referral or 1904 direct referral back for further care, and I think that is 1905 routine in the operation of the Sinai program and the 1906 Bellevue program.

1907 Mr. {Engel.} Right, but what about someone who gets1908 care from a private physician and now is going to the Center

1909 of Excellence, as Mr. Torres said, went to a private 1910 physician first and a Center of Excellence? What is the 1911 coordination? Does the private physician reach out to the 1912 center? Would the center reach out to the physician? How 1913 would we know that it is not duplicative? That is the kind 1914 of question I am asking.

Dr. {Moline.} There is a variety of ways at Mt. Sinai 1915 Dr. {Moline.} There is a variety of ways at Mt. Sinai 1916 we do this. First of all, every patient who is in a 1917 monitoring program, whether it is the fire department's 1918 monitoring program or the consortium monitoring program, gets 1919 a results letter to bring with them to their doctor. And 1920 they get a copy of all of their test findings.

1921 We also ask if they would like copies sent to their 1922 doctor. If they give us authorization, then we send copies 1923 of all of this information to their doctor. All of our 1924 physicians reach out to these doctors to make sure that we 1925 aren't going at cross purposes, we are not both prescribing 1926 the same medications or medications that might counteract 1927 each other, that we are all on the same page in providing the 1928 best care.

We are working in many ways as a consultant would to a primary care physician. We are providing care for a number of conditions. In addition to going to your family doctor for your routine checkup, you would be referred to--if you

1933 had a back problem, you would be referred to an orthopedic 1934 surgeon. The orthopedic surgeon would communicate back to 1935 the family doctor to say yes, this is what I saw. That is 1936 how we work with the private doctors.

1937 Mr. {Engel.} Well, thank you, Dr. Moline, and thank you 1938 for all the good work you do. And thank everyone. I want to 1939 thank everyone on the panel for the good work you do and for 1940 the people who have the courage to make their public 1941 struggles--their personal struggles, to share them with us. 1942 It is very important that the country understands, as so 1943 many people have said, that this is a problem affecting all 1944 of us. And we need a federal response, and that is why we need this bill. New York happened to be the place where the 1945 1946 terrorists attacked, but the terrorists attacked New York 1947 because of the symbol of New York and what it means.

And therefore we have a tremendous responsibility. And those doctors who were on the front lines, you indeed are first responders because you are on the front lines. And those people with the courage to tell us their stories are really making such great progress.

And finally, Mr. Chairman, I want to commend you because 1954 I am on this subcommittee, and there are so many related 1955 health concerns that we have in this country. And you and I 1956 have spoken many times about the need to have this hearing,

1957 and you have always been positive and helpful. And obviously 1958 this wouldn't have happened today without your leadership in 1959 this very, very important matter. And you and I have 1960 discussed this, and I am confident with you as chairman we 1961 are going to finally move forward and break through and pass 1962 this legislation, which is so desperately needed.

1963 So thank you again, Mr. Chairman. I want to state on 1964 the record how helpful you have been.

1965 Mr. {Pallone.} Well, thank you, and we do intend to 1966 move forward. Mr. Weiner.

1967 Mr. {Weiner.} Thank you, Mr. Pallone, and I want to 1968 echo the remarks of Mr. Engel. You and Mr. Deal have been very helpful in moving this forward, and it reminds us that 1969 1970 when the first Victim Compensation Bill was passed, it was 1971 unanimous or nearly unanimous, the notion that people who 1972 perished in what was essentially an act of war deserve not 1973 only our gratitude. But they also deserve a quick 1974 dispensation of the needs of their surviving family members. 1975 And the universe of people that we talk about today, in 1976 fact many of them are people who are dying by degrees because 1977 of that day. And has been remarked in the past, if we knew 1978 then that people would be dying years later, there is no 1979 doubt in my mind that we would have, in a bipartisan fashion, 1980 changed the language of the bill to make sure that the Victim

1981 Compensation Fund took into account people like Mr. Torres. 1982 And Mr. Torres, who speaks for many people, some of whom 1983 are here, many of whom have gone on with their lives, some of 1984 whom unfortunately have perished, many of whom are sick. 1985 They responded that day because it was a combination of their 1986 job and their sense of their obligation to their neighbors. 1987 They went without being asked to sign forms. They didn't go 1988 with an instruction book. If anything, they advice they were 1989 getting from many officials, as we now know, was wrong. 1990 We had head of the EPA at the time saying the air was 1991 just fine. People were handing them equipment that you 1992 wouldn't use to paint your apartment, and they were being 1993 asked to wear it when they were dealing with the toxic soup

1994 that has been described here as unprecedented.

But let me just ask a question that perhaps can refocus us on the broader question. The people with Drs. in front of your name, is there any doubt in your mind that people today are dying because of the attacks on September 11 and their proximity to that attack?

Dr. {Reibman.} I think that people are very, very sick because of September 11 and their proximity at that time. We certainly hope we can prevent them from dying.

2003 Mr. {Weiner.} Dr. Melius, is there any doubt in your 2004 mind that are people who are dying by degrees because of that

2005 attack?

2025

2026

2027

2028

2006 Dr. {Melius.} Absolutely not.

2007 Mr. {Weiner.} Dr. Moline, any doubt in your mind that 2008 there are people who are dying by degrees because of that 2009 attack?

2010 Dr. {Moline.} Absolutely not.

2011 Mr. {Weiner.} And, Doctor, I want to take a moment to 2012 thank you. I have seen your work secondhand as folks who are 2013 close to me have turned to you for care and have received it. 2014 One of the questions that has come up is that whether or not 2015 in addition to us providing a service to the people who are 2016 sick, we also send a message to future generations of people 2017 like Mr. Torres that if they do run into the aftermath of 2018 these things and try to help out, that we are going to be 2019 there for them just as we would if they were soldiers. 2020 Mr. Torres, I know that you have said in a couple of 2021 places that you don't regret anything about the way you acted 2022 and your colleagues, the service that you provided. But 2023 certainly there must be a time in the still of the night 2024 where you think boy, was it worth it? Are you concerned that

if someone--if you are seeing someone else and they say to

you, you know it sounds like you got really sick from being

there on the job and now the federal government isn't

responding, that we might be in a circumstance in future

2029 attacks where people start to have second thoughts about 2030 whether or not they should go into that place when called by 2031 their neighbors?

2032 Do you think about that at all that, you know, that all 2033 that you have gone through--and you must have a lot of 2034 brothers and sisters who have situations that are like yours. 2035 They must sometimes say to themselves you know what? If I 2036 knew then what I know now, maybe I wouldn't have raced there 2037 to be of help.

2038 Mr. {Torres.} That conversation comes up a lot. At the 2039 workplace, it comes up. Just two days ago when I was telling 2040 my wife about this committee, she asked the same question. 2041 What will happen if they don't pass this bill? Will people 2042 go back out there and help again?

I have a brother who is a fireman in Jersey City. He was out there too working. And we talk about it. He will go out there if he wasn't a fireman. I will go out there again. I will go out there. Hopefully, God willing, we never have to. But there is not a doubt in my mind even with the illness. I don't regret what I did. I did it because it was the right thing to do.

And so to answer your question, most likely yes, some 2051 people do have that in their mind. But I think human beings 2052 in nature when something tragic happens, they respond.

2053 Mr. {Weiner.} Right.

2054 Mr. {Torres.} And we saw that at the World Trade Center 2055 because it wasn't firemen and policemen there alone. There 2056 was a lot of other people working, male, woman, old, young. 2057 Jersey City had a chain gang filling up tugboats from young 2058 people, high school, grammar school, anybody helping. 2059 I want to believe that they will come out again. 2060 Mr. {Weiner.} I believe they will, and we should be 2061 there for them now. Let me just conclude with just this one 2062 question. There was some opposition that has been voiced 2063 about the idea that we don't know for sure when someone comes 2064 in, whether they are afflicted by the effects of Ground Zero 2065 dust and their being in that environment or something else. 2066 You know someone comes in with a headache, it could be from 2067 anything.

As you accumulate a larger database of information and see more clients and do more research, are you reaching the point where you can say, perhaps not with metaphysical certitude, but some certainty when you are dealing with someone who has come before you because of a 9/11 related thing?

2074 There have been some concerns raised well, it sounds 2075 like we have this catchall situation if anyone can show they 2076 were anywhere nearby at any time, they could come in. It

2077 might have nothing to do with the 9/11 dust. If we can just perhaps start with Dr. Moline, and then we will go down the 2078 2079 line. Do you have some sense now that you have a sufficient body of knowledge, and as it grows, that you can allay the 2080 2081 fears of some of my colleagues that this isn't entirely open-2082 ended, that you can tell? We now have some foundation on 2083 which to draw a conclusion about who there by the effects of 2084 9/11?

2085 Dr. {Moline.} Well, I think if you look at the medical 2086 studies that have come out, and studies come out from the 2087 fire department, from the police department, from the 2088 consortium that Mt. Sinai coordinates from Dr. Reibman's, 2089 everyone has the same numbers.

2090 You look at objection measures like pulmonary function 2091 tests. Twenty-eight percent have abnormal pulmonary function 2092 tests, whether it is police officers in a separate study, whether it is a group of 10,000 folks that we reported on. 2093 2094 Whether it is folks from fire department or from Dr. Reibman. 2095 When you see this constellation of symptoms in thousands upon 2096 thousands of people, that I think there can be no doubt that 2097 these exposures were the cause of many of the ailments we are 2098 seeing, if not the specific ailments --

2099 Mr. {Weiner.} Yeah, I am asking the inverse of that. I 2100 am asking we know about the population as a whole. The

2101 question is individual citizens that come in and say okay, I 2102 want to take advantage of the provisions of this bill. I am 2103 made sick by 9/11. Do you have the ability to be able to 2104 allay the concerns of some of my colleagues that say you 2105 don't really know. It could have been from something, they 2106 could have had something predated that could have, you know, 2107 that you could be seeing.

Are you at a point now that when you see someone, you look at the combination of where that person was, what kind of symptoms they have, their profile as, you know--are you at a pretty comfortable place that you can say yeah, we are pretty sure. We don't know with absolute certainty, but we are pretty sure this is someone who was made sick by September 11.

2115 Dr. {Moline.} What you are describing is my specialty, 2116 which is occupational medicine, which is--

2117 Mr. {Weiner.} You should testify before a hearing or 2118 something. You would be perfect.

2119 Dr. {Moline.} Thank you. That is what we do. We say 2120 what do you do, where were you, what were you exposed to, and 2121 find out what was your health like before you had these 2122 things. And I do that every time I see a patient. I was 2123 going to be taking care of patients this afternoon, but I 2124 will be seeing them tomorrow morning. Those are the 2125 questions that all of them have been posed by me to find out 2126 on an individual basis. Sure we will publish on the aggregate, but on an individual basis, how were you on 2127 2128 September 10? What was your medical history before that? 2129 And now when did you begin to have symptoms? What were you 2130 doing? Where were you? What other things have intervened in 2131 between? It might be something else. It might not be. How 2132 are all of these things affecting your health now?

2133 Mr. {Weiner.} And so you have some constant?

2134 Dr. {Moline.} We have constants.

2135 Mr. {Weiner.} And, Mr. Melius, you have a similar sense 2136 that you pretty much--you can now spot it when you see it and 2137 take a look at it?

2138 Dr. {Melius.} I don't provide the direct care, but I 2139 think what I would add to what Dr. Moline is said is remember 2140 that again why we have Centers of Excellence is to have 2141 standardized approaches for addressing and examining people. 2142 So they use the same questionnaires, the same types of 2143 testing. So that is standardized in everybody. And as I 2144 work with these physicians, they pick up on--they understand 2145 that issue, and they have developed so much experience that I 2146 am very confident in--

2147 Mr. {Weiner.} Thank you. Mr. Chairman, I have a vote 2148 in the other markup, and I want to thank the panel very much. 2149 Mr. {Pallone.} Thank you. We are about to conclude, 2150 but I do want to ask one or two more questions with the 2151 support here of my ranking member. I thought you said earlier--this is following up on what Mr. Weiner said. 2152 Ι thought you had said earlier, Dr. Melius, that you actually 2153 2154 have a certification of some sort that a person had a World 2155 Trade Center disease or disorder? Did I misunderstood? 2156 Dr. {Melius.} No, right now the--what I was referring 2157 to earlier was in the legislation, there is now the 2158 requirement, which is not strictly in place now sort of 2159 administratively. But going forward that say Dr. Moline, Dr. 2160 Reibman would first, you know, they would say that when a 2161 person is eligible for the program, secondly that they have a 2162 World Trade Center related condition and so forth. They 2163 would do that.

There would then be a certification by NIOSH or whoever is administering the federal agency that, you know, sort of reviewing that, making sure that it followed all the procedures, that it was correct.

2168 Mr. {Pallone.} So essentially--I mean maybe 2169 certification isn't the word. But essentially you would say 2170 this person has the disorder, and they are eligible for the 2171 program.

2172 Dr. {Melius.} Yes.

2173 Mr. {Pallone.} And if they weren't, if they didn't meet 2174 those criteria, you wouldn't treat them anymore in theory? 2175 Dr. {Melius.} Correct, and that is currently happening 2176 now in the program.

2177 Mr. {Pallone.} You do get people that come in that you 2178 decide don't have the disorder and then you turn them away 2179 essentially?

2180 Dr. {Melius.} Right, it is a limited number, but there 2181 are people. And we have actually worked out among all the 2182 participating Centers of Excellence a program to sort of make 2183 sure that in their process, as patients come in--because 2184 everyone is handled slightly differently--that they--if they 2185 are suspicious that someone is not really eligible or, you 2186 know, that they have a way of, you know, more intensively 2187 following up, you know, demanding that there be more documentation that they actually work there. 2188

And that process is working because I get calls from them, and we talk about at the steering committee meetings and so forth. And certainly, you know, with people coming in now, you know, seven years later, I think we have to be more careful about it. Though again it is not to say that the vast majority of the people coming in are--

2195 Mr. {Pallone.} I mean most people don't show up if they 2196 really don't have a problem.

2197 Dr. {Melius.} Exactly, yeah.

2198 Mr. {Pallone.} All right, and my last question is this, 2199 and I kind of went back to the beginning. You know in terms 2200 of the need for a permanent program and authorization, which 2201 is what we are all about. And let me preface that by saying, 2202 you know, we are an authorizing committee, so we don't 2203 particularly like the fact that you operate without a 2204 permanent authorization because we don't like to do business 2205 that way. And certainly for us, that is not the way we do 2206 things. But the question really is without the permanent 2207 authorization, again sort of being the devil's advocate, I 2208 assume that you have had problems operating the way you are 2209 and that there is some inherent benefit in having a permanent 2210 authorization. If any of you would like to comment on that, 2211 I think that might be important.

2212 Dr. {Moline.} You know working in a clinical setting 2213 where there is uncertain funding year to year, I reach a 2214 certain point where I begin to draft the letter that is going 2215 to go out to say we can't provide the care that you have been 2216 receiving to all the patients. We can't guarantee that they 2217 will get the services that they need without having a 2218 permanent solution. We are intensely grateful, immeasurably 2219 grateful for the monies that have been appropriated for us, 2220 and it is year-to-year funding.

I mean we have a staff that is--we are seeing thousands of patients a year at Mt. Sinai. We have an infrastructure that is developed. It is very hard when you don't know if you are able to sustain that every year, and you are worried is this going to be possible. Am I going to have to start from scratch again where I have this expertise that I have build up?

And that has been one of the challenges in trying to make sure that we have the resources so that we know that if we do have to expand, if there are more people coming in or there are new illnesses, that we will be able to handle that. We are more worried about whether we are even going to have funding for the next year available.

2234 Mr. {Pallone.} Anyone else want to comment because I 2235 think that is kind of important here.

2236 Dr. {Reibman.} I would like to agree just to say that 2237 it is very important to be able to recruit people, to train 2238 people, to get people with experience so that they can answer 2239 just the questions you are asking. How do you know this is 2240 World Trade Center? Is this what we have seen before? How 2241 are we going to approach it? And to have--to not know 2242 whether you are going to be able to retain people, to have to 2243 retrain people all the time makes the program very difficult. 2244 Mr. {Pallone.} Mr. Holloway?

Mr. {Holloway.} And just on--we are talking in part about programs that have appropriations, you have to come back and do it from year to year. There are also, from the city's perspective, a number of programs that are primarily funded by the city. And although we have gotten some of the--recently from NIOSH some money appropriated there, the HHC program right now is actually running at a deficit.

2252 One of the other programs that we didn't talk about in 2253 detail is a mental health program, which actually does 2254 reimbursement for mental health services that is funded in 2255 the bill, also operating at a deficit.

2256 So for some of these programs, you know, the city, as I 2257 said didn't wait for Congress to act for us to meet the needs 2258 that we found when we dug into this. But, you know, the 2259 program will be subject to the vagaries of the very, very 2260 difficult budget choices that the city has to make about all 2261 of the programs that it provides. And so, you know, this 2262 isn't just a matter of coming back and everybody testifying 2263 every year about an appropriation.

You know we would really like to see this go past the point where it is a question whether these programs are going to run. And we do feel that it is important that, with the city contributing, it is a national responsibility.

2268 Mr. {Pallone.} Okay, thank you.

2269 Dr. {Melius.} Can I just add I think it is also very 2270 important for the participants in the program, and one good 2271 recent example is one of the individuals, a firefighter, just 2272 recently underwent a lung transplant. And he and his family 2273 were asked well, do you want--who should cover this because 2274 it is covered out of this program, and it was World Trade 2275 Center related. Then what is going to happen in the future? Because that individual is going to be on, you know, 2276 2277 significant medications for the rest of his life, which we 2278 hope is a long one. And who is going to be able to pay for 2279 that going forward?

2280 So knowing that this program had long-term funding would 2281 have made that decision much more easy for that individual 2282 and I think for everybody involved here. They often wonder 2283 what is going to happen with their health insurance. Who is 2284 going to take care of them in the future? It also has 2285 implications for the Victims Compensation Fund portion of 2286 this.

2287 Mr. {Pallone.} Sure. Mr. Deal.

2288 Mr. {Deal.} Well, my information is that CDC had, I 2289 think, \$180 million carried over that was appropriated for 2290 fiscal year 2009, and they have obligated just over \$16 2291 million through the end of March of '09. And my 2292 understanding is that based on those currently appropriated

2293 funds that there appears to be adequate funding through 2010.2294 So that carryover money, I think, does make a difference.

2295 Mr. {Pallone.} I mean we obviously, you know, you still 2296 have to go through the appropriations process every year. 2297 But there is a big difference in terms of having something 2298 that is permanently authorized that you can count on as, you 2299 know, as being authorized versus having to, you know, come 2300 back every year for the money. We can't avoid that. That is 2301 just the annual process. Did you want to add anything? 2302 Otherwise we are going to conclude.

2303 Mr. {Holloway.} Just one thing to Congressman's point. 2304 There is money that carries over. It actually took NIOSH and 2305 CDC for whatever reason many, many months to actually get an 2306 RFP out on the street and create a vehicle to access that 2307 funding that had been appropriated. And in fact, after this 2308 hearing today, I will be going to NIOSH to talk about how we 2309 can do a better job ensuring that the money that has already 2310 been appropriated to deal with this is best used.

2311 So any help you can provide would be appreciated.

2312 Mr. {Pallone.} Sure. All right, well thank you very 2313 much. You may get additional questions within the next 10 2314 days that members can submit for the record. And you would 2315 respond to us, and the clerk would notify you about that. 2316 But I just wanted to thank you. I thought this was a very

2317 good analysis. And as I said, we do intend to move forward 2318 with the legislation. So without objection, this meeting of 2319 the subcommittee is adjourned. Thank you.

- 2320 [Whereupon, at 12:20 p.m., the subcommittee was
- 2321 adjourned.]